United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit



APPENDIX

011111475-1331

BP/S

In The

United States Court of Appeals

For The Second Circuit

No. 75-1331

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

vs.

RONALD GIGLIOTTI,

Defendant-Appellant.

On Appeal from the United States District Court for the Eastern
District of New York

APPENDIX FOR DEFENDANT-APPELLANT

Volume I, pp. 1 - 215

LA ROSSA, SHARGEL & FISCHETTI

Attorneys for Defendant-Appellant
522 Fifth Avenue

New York, New York 10036

(212) 687-4100



PAGINATION AS IN ORIGINAL COPY

INDEX

			P	age
Docket Entries		•	•	1
Indictment			•	6
Grand Jury Testimony of Frank DiPalma, May 22, 1972				8
Grand Jury Testimony of Frank DiPalma, June 13, 1972		•		18
Grand Jury Testimony of Frank DiPalma, April 18, 1973				39
Trial Testimony of Frank DiPalma .				44
Partial Testimony of F. B. I. Agent Arthur Ruffels.	•			108
Motion to Dismiss After Government's Case	•			364
Government's Post-Trial Memorandum In Opposition to Defendant Gigliotti's Motion To Dismiss Indictment				394
Transcript of Taped Conversation Between Frank DiPalma, Ciro Riccardi and Ronald Gigliotti, June 2, 1972				402
Sentencing Minutes				418
Notice of Appeal				428

DOCKET ENTRIES

THE UNITED STAT	res)
vs.	
CIRO R. RICCARI RONALD GIGLIOTT	
	Proceedings
6- 6-73	Before JUDD, J Indictment filed - ordered sealed by the Court. Bench Warrants ordered for defts.
6- 7-73	Bench Warrants issued as to two defts.
6- 8-73	Before COSTANTINO, J Case called - sealed indictment ordered opened by the Court - deft. Gigliotti arraigned and the Court enters a plea of not guilty on his behalf - deft. in custody pending bail application.
6- 8-73	Before CATOGGIO, Mag Defts. and counsel Albert Aronne present - defts entered a plea of not guilty - reading of indictment waived by defts bail set at \$10,000 - 10% cash for deft. Riccardi and \$2,500 P.R.B. for deft. Gigliotti.
	Notice of Appearance filed (for deft. Cigliotti Albert Aronne.
7-26-73	Magistrate's filed 73 M 855 inserted into CR file.

Notice of Motion filed (ret. Aug. 7, 1973), with Memorandum of Law for Bill of Particulars

7-26-73

	Discovery and directing the Govt. to produce and deliver to the defts. all evidence favor- able to them, etc. (Both defts.)
8- 7-73	Before COSTANTINO, J Defts & counsels present - motion for Discovery and Inspection argued - stipulation agreed to and entered into the record.
8-28-73	Bill of Particulars filed.
10- 2-73	Before COSTANTINO, J Case called - defts. & atty. present - defts. waived 6 month rule - set down for Jan. 21, 1974.
1-15-74	Notice of readiness for trial filed.
2-25-74	Before COSTANTINO, J Case called - defts and counsels not present - case adjd. to 3-29-74 for report.
3-29-74	Before COSTANTINO, J Case called - Defts. and counsels present - adjd. to 6-(illegible) for trial.
5-24-74	Before PLATT, J Case called - adjd. to 6-14-74 at 10:00 A.M.
6-14-74	Before PLATT, J Case called - defts and counsel present - hearing adjd. to 7-12-74 at 3:00 P.M set down for trial on 8-26-74 at 10:00 A.M.
7-12-74	Govts. Memorandum of Law filed.
7-12-74	Before PLATT, J Case called - adjd. to July 26, 1974 at 3:00 P.M.
7-26-74	Before PLATT, J Case called - motion with- drawn.
8-26-74	Before PLATT, J Case called for trial - adjd. to 10/15/74.

Before PLATT, J. - Case called - ready and 10-15-74 passed. Before PLATT, J. - Case called - adjd. to 3-10-75 3-31-75 at 10:00 A.M. (for trial). Before PLATT, J. - Case called - Cert. of 3-31-75 Engagement issued to James La Rossa and Gustave Newman - adjd. to May 20, 1975. By PLATT, J. - Memorandum filed denying defts. motion to dismiss. Before PLATT, J. - Case called - trial ordered 5-19-75 and BEGUN - Jurors selected and sworn - trial cont'd to May 20, 1975. Before PLATT, J. - Case called - trial resumed -5-20-75 trial con'd to May 21, 1975. Before PLATT, J. - Case called - trial resumed -5-21-75 trial cont'd to May 22, 1975. Before PLATT, J. - Case called - trial resumed -5-22-75 trial cont'd to May 27, 1975. 5-27-75 Before PLATT, J. - Case called - defts and counsel present - trial resumed - deft. Riccardi's motion for judgment of acquittal on counts 1 and 2 motion denied - delt. Gigliott's motion to dismiss count 1 - decision reserved - deft's motion to dismiss count 2 motion denied - trial cont'd to 5/28/75. Before PLATT, J.-Case called - trial resumed -5-28-75 Jury retires to deliberate - trial cont'd to May 29, 1975. By PLATT, J. - Order of sustenance filed (14 5-28-75 persons - luncheon). Before PLATT, J. - Case called - defts. present 5-29-75 with counsels - trial resumed - Jury returns

with a verdict of guilty on Count 2 as to both defts. - deft. Gigliotti moves to dismiss count 2 - decision reserved - Lury discharged - bail cont'd. as to deft. Riccardi - deft Gigliotti's bail increased to \$10,000, 10% cash - trial concluded.

- 6- 2-75 Stenographers Transcripts dated 5/20/75(2), 5/21/75, 5/22/75 and 5/27/75 filed.
- 6- 4-75 By CATOGGIO, Magistrate Order for acceptance of cash bail filed (GIGLIOTTI).
- 7-18-75 Before PLATT, J. Case called sentence adjd. to 8-19-75. (RICCARDI) and GIGLIOTTI.
- Before PLATT, J. Case called defts and 8-29-75 counsel present - deft GIGLIOTTI sentenced for a period of 8 years pursuant to T-18, U.S.C. Sec. 4208(a)(2) and fined \$10,000.00 - Bail cont'd pending appeal - execution of payment of fine stayed to 9/12/75--deft. RICCARDI sentence for a period of 20 years - i.e., the maximum fixed by statute, and for a psychiatric study as described in T-18, U.S.C. Sec. 4208(c), the results of such study to be furnished this Court within 3 months, unless Court grants further time not to exceed 3 months, whereupon the deft. shall be returned to this Court and to sentence of imprisonment shall be subject to modification pursuant to T-18, U.S.C., Sec. 4208(b) - bail cont'd pending appeal.
- 8-29-75 Judgment and Commitment filed certified copies to Marshal (Both Defts.)
- 8-29-75 Notice of Appeal filed (for R. Gigliotti).
- 8-29-75 Copy of Notice of Appeal and duplicate of the docket sheets mailed to the C. of A.
- 8-29-75 Notice of Appeal filed (C. Riccardi).
- 8-29-75 Copy of Notice of Appeal and duplicate of the

	docket sheets mailed to the C. of A.								
9- 5-75	Record on appeal certified and handed to J. Gill for delivery to the Court of Appeals.								
9-16-75	Acknowledgment received from court of appeals for receipt of record.								
9-16-75	Certified copy of docketing order received from court of appeals and filed.								
9-16-75	Stenographers transcript filed dated 8-29-75.								
9-17-75	Stenographers transcript filed dated 8-29-75								

A TRUE COPY

/s/ LEWIS ORGEL, CLERK

BY:

DEPUTY CLERK

INDICTMENT

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

v.

73 CR 556

CIRO R. RICCARDI and RONALD GIGLIOTTI,

Defendants.

COUNT ONE

May 1, 1971 and May 18, 1972, both dates being approximate and inclusive within the Eastern District of New York, the defendant Ciro R. Riccardi knowingly did make extortionate extensions of credit to Frank DiPalma in the total amount of approximately \$3,500, Ciro R. Riccardi and Frank DiPalma understanding at the time of said extension of credit was made, that delay in making and failure to make repayment of the same could result in the use of violence and other criminal means to cause harm to the person of Frank DiPalma.

(Title 18, United States Code, Section 892).

COUNT TWO

The Grand Jury charges: That on or about and

mate and inclusive within the Eastern District of New York, the defendants Ciro R. Riccardi and Ronald Gigliotti knowingly used extortionate means within the meaning of Section 891(7) of Title 18, United States Code, to attempt to collect and to collect from Frank DiPalma, a debtor, an extension of credit, to wit; the defendant Ciro R. Riccardi and Ronald Gigliotti used violence and expressly and implicitly threatened the use of violence and other criminal means to cause harm to the person of the said debtor.

(Title 18, United States Code, Section 894).

FOREMAN

UNITED STATES ATTORNEY

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK 3 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA Criminal Folder Number 4 -against-723242 5 ZERO 6 7 8 225 Cadman Plaza East Brooklyn, New York 9 May 22, 1972 10 11 12 GRAND JURY MINUTES 13 14 PRESENTED BY: 15 WILLIAMIT. MURPHY, ESQ., Special Attorney 16 Department of Justice 17 18 REPORTED BY: 19 BRIAN A. FEINGOLD 20 21 22 WITNESS: 23

FRANK DIPALMA

25

D i P A L M A, having assumed his seat as

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

a witness, testified as follows:

EXAMINATION BY

MR. MURPHY:

FRANK

Q What is your name?

A Frank DiPalma.

Q Mr. DiPalma, you've told the FBI that you are a victim of a loan shark known to you only as Zero. Is that correct?

A Yes.

Q Did you also give the FBI a statement as to some of the -- Well, not some, but the essential transactions that you had with Zero?

A Yes.

Q Does the statement contain each and every incident?

A Yes.

Q In full detail?

A Yes.

Q Or does it identify all the people who might know some information about your relationship with Zero?

A Yes.

Q Does it identify everybody that you spoke to us about in the office ten minutes ago?

A Yes.

9

2	Q Does it identify Patsy, in other words?
3	A Excuse me?
4	Q The fellow that introduced you.
5	A Can you rephrase that? You mean, is it involved with
6	Zero?
7	Q No. I am asking you whether you told the FBI
8	the name of everybody?
9	A I did not tell the FBI names until I came to your
10	office and mentioned names.
11	Q When you gave the statement, it was the outline
12	of the essential transactions that you had with Zero?
13	A Yes.
14	Q Do you understand what I am asking?
15	A Yes.
16	Q Take a look at these three typewritten pages.
17	Look at the initials at the bottom.
18	A Yes?
19	Q Are they your initials?
20	A They are.
21	Q On all three pages, now. At the bottom of the
22	three pages there is some handwriting. Did you write that in
23	A Yes, I did.
24	Q Would you read the entire three pages out loud
25	and tell us when you go from page to page.

A

4

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

"I, Frank DiPalma, make the following voluntary statements to Arthur H. Ruffels, who has identified himself to me as a special agent of the Federal Bureau of Investigation.

Special Agent Ruffels advised me that he wishes to talk to me in connection with an extortionate credit transaction. Approximately one year ago, May of 1971, I borrowed \$1,000 from an individual known to me as Zero. I learned about Zero from a co-worker of miney who I wish not to identify at this time. My co-worker took me in his car to a luncheonette located near the corner of Avenue X and East Third Street. Brooklyn, across from the -- from a Chinese Restaurant. We parked in the front of the luncheonette and were joined by Zero, who is described as a white male, 5-8 or 5-9 tall; 165 to 175 pounds, very muscular; 26 years old; hair black; eyes brown; dressed casually. I asked him if I could borrow a thousand dollars and how much it would cost. Zero said it would cost you \$50 per week, but this is not a payment on the principal, but only a vig. I said I never heard of that before and Zero said, "Come and see me Friday."

I met Zero that Friday and he gave me a thousand dollars in cash. We agreed that I would pay back \$50

every week at this time -- at this same location, FRidays at 3:00 P.M.

"If you don't see me here, come over to work performance on McDonald Avenue, between X and W."

This place was a motorcycle dealership and repair shop which Zero said he owned, but has since sold. I made \$50 payments faithfully for approximately two months, when I borrowed \$1500 more. The new arrangement was that I would pay back \$125 per week vig."

That's Page 1.

Q That's Page 1?

Yes. Now, Page 2.

three months when I borrowed a thousand dollars more,
making the total principal \$3500. The agreement to
pay back the vig was \$175 per week, which I continued
making until November or December of 1971. At that time
I explained to Zero that I could not pay \$175 per week.
This meeting took place in the office of Work Performance
Shop. Present at this meeting were two other individuals
Zero identified as his partners of his. One described as
heavy-set, Jewish looking in the mid-twenties, approximately

5-5 in height, 210 pounds, black hair and having a pockmark on his right cheek. Second, six feet tall; 240 pounds; Jewish-looking; brown hair, was dressed in a business suit and in his late twenties. Zero said to me, "You have to pay 100 a week and maybe we ought to get a gun and put a bullet in your head." The tall individual said, "We didn't come to you, you came to us. You have to pay."

"During the conversation Zero made several threats to physically harm me, such as to break my arms and legs. I continued to make \$100 payments until January or February of this year when I again approached Zero and told him that I couldn't afford to pay \$100 a week any more. Zero replied, "I'm going to give you a break. You are now going to pay me \$4800 loan at \$50 a week."

"Present at this meeting were the same two individuals who Zero had identified previously as his partners. I continued making \$50 payments until the present. On some of those occasions I was unable to meet the Friday afternoon deadline and would have to make up stories for two or three days, daily. On numerous occasions Zero threatened to physically harm me unless I had the money."

That's the end ---

Q Are you going to Page 3?

A Page 3.

"On Monday, May 15, 1971, at approximately 6:00 P.M.
Zero was parked on the corner of 85th Street, alongside
the cemetery, obviously waiting for me. With him in a
1972 greenish blue Mercury Marquis was another individual who was described as a white male, approximately
forty years of age; Jewish looking with light brown
hair, with receding hairline, dressed in a sports
shirt and brown pants. I parked my car, walked over to
Zero. He said to me, 'I'm going to put you in a
hospital where no hospital bill will ever pay the
damages. I am going to do to you.' On Tuesday I
went to the same luncheonette described earlier and met
with Zero again. Zero said, "For every thousand dollars
you give me I'm going to knock off \$400 from the
principal."

"I left him with the understanding that I would return on Wednesday between 2:00 P.M. to 3:06 P.M. with a thousand dollars cash. I did not keep that meeting and that night Zero came with some of his friends —came to my house, but rang the wrong bell. I did not make an attempt to talk with him and he finally left."

3

4 5

7

8 9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

That's the bottom with my writing.

- Would you read your writing, please?
- "I was born 10-22-37, completed seven years of school. I have read the above statement considering -consisting of this and two additional typewritten pages. have initialled each page and now sign it because it is true. Witnessed -- "
 - After that, is your signature?
- After that is my signature, and after that is "Witness, R. H. Ruffels, Special Agent, PBI, New York, New York."
- When you wrote that entire statement, was it true?
- Yes, it was. A
 - Is it still true?
- Yes, it is.
- This morning in my office you spoke to Special Agent Ruffels, Special Agent Boland of the FBI again, didn't you?
- Yes.
- And you talked about your transactions with Zero in a little more detail, didn't you?
- A Yes, I did.
 - I asked you some questions, didn't I?
- Yes. A

 And we talked about some places that you might have gone to try to straighten out your loan?

A Yes.

- Q And other people who might have known about it?
 Right, yes.
- Q But what you said today, either here in the grand jury or in my office, does it alter in any way what you said in your original statement to the FBI:
- A What do you mean by that?
 - Q Does it change it?
- A No, it does not change it.

MR. MURPHY: I have no further questions of the witness: I asked that the subpoena be adjourned.

THE FORELADY: And that you will be reappearing again when notified.

THE WITNESS: Yes, I will.

THE FORELADY: Thank you very much.

MR. MURPHY: May I have this marked as Exhibit
No. 1 in this case?

THE FORELADY: Yes.

(Whereupon, the aforementioned document was received and marked Grand Jury Exhibit 1 in evidence as of this date by the reporter)

THE FORELADY: You are excused.

THE WITNESS: Thank you.

(The witness was excused and withdrew)

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 2 EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK 3 4 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA 5 : Criminal Folder -against-6 "ZERO" a/k/a JOHN DOE : #723,242 7 8 9 225 Cadman Plaza East 10 Brooklyn, New York 11 June 13, 1972 12 13 14 GRAND JURY MINUTES 15 16 Presented by: WILLIAM T. MURPHY, ESQ 17 Special Attorney, Department of Justice 18 Reported by: Maureen McCaffery 19 20 21 22 Witness: 23 FRANK DIPALMA

FRANK DiPALMA, after having been duly sworn, assumed his seat as a witness and testified as follows:

EXAMINATION BY

MR. MURPHY:

- Q Would you tell us your name and address, sir?

 A My name is Frank DiPalma. I live at 1670,

 85th Street in Brooklyn.
 - Q Will you tell us where you work?
- A I work for the New York City Transit Authority.
- Q Would you tell us what your home telephone number is?
- A My home telephone number is 331-1219.
- Q I want you to go back in your mind about a year ago. Did there come a time in May of 1971 when you had to borrow some money quickly?

A Yes.

Because of the situation at that time, did you come to know a person known to you only as Zero?

A Yes.

Q Were you introduced to him by a man who workd with you named Patty?

A Yes.

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

Q What is Patty's last name?

A Patty McCabe.

- Q Do you know whether Patty McCabe has ever been involved in any kind of sports activity as far as you know?
- A No, I don't know. I can't answer. I don't know. I don't know if he was or wasn't involved in sports activity.
 - Q Wasn't he a boxer at one time?
- A I'm sorry. Yes, he was a boxer.
- Q I think you told us he fought Willie Pep at one time?
- A Yes, he fought Willie Pep.
- Q Did you come to have a meeting with this man named Zero in May of 1971?
- A Yes, I did.
- Q Did that first meeting occur at a luncheonette near the corner of Avenue X and East Third Avenue here in Brooklyn?
- A Yes.
 - Q It was near a Chinese restaurant?
- A Yes.
- Q I'm going to show you a photograph of yourself and another man. Can you tell us if you have

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

ever seen the other man before?

Yes.

Q Who is it?

That's Zero.

Would you take this red pencil and put a circle around Zero's head. Put your initials right beside it.

MR. MURPHY: Mr. Foreman,

is today the 13th of June?

MR. FOREMAN:

Will you put 6/13/72 right beside it. (Witness marking document.)

> MR. MURPHY: Could I have this marked as Exhibit No. 1.

(Whereupon, the abovementioned document was marked as Grand Jury Exhibit No. 1.)

On that occasion of that first meeting, as best you can remember right now, did you actually make an arrangement to borrow money?

Yes, I did. A

Q How much did you intend to borrow?

\$1,000.

What were the terms of the repayment of

14

15

17

18

19

21

22

24

25

A \$50.00 a week vig.

that money?

- Q What did you understand vig. to mean at that time?
- A At that time I never heard of a vig.
- Q Was the phrase vig. explained to you by Zero?
- A Yes, it was explained to me by Zero.
 - Q What did he tell you it meant?
- A It meant that you give him \$50.00 a week and it doesn't come off the principal, it stays, unless you have the \$1,050.
- Q That's the only way you could pay back the whole loan, with the entire \$1,000?
- A Yes. The entire 1,050 or \$50.00 a week.
- Q Can you tell us at what time you were supposed to make those \$50.00 payments?
- A I used to make them, I had to make them every Friday.
 - Q Where did you have to make them?
- A At the luncheonette or his Work Performance Cycle Shop.
- Q Did you ever see Zero at Work Performance Cycle Shop?

3

.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Yes, I did.

Q After you make the loan, did you get the money the same day?

A No, I did not.

Q When did you get it?

A I got it on a Friday.

Q After you got the money, did you make any \$50.00 payments to him?

A Yes, I did.

Q For how long a period of time did you make those payments?

A For about two and a half months.

Q That's an approximate length of time?

A Approximate length of time.

Q After you had made those payments for approximately two and a half months, did you have to borrow more money from him?

A Yes, I did.

Q How much more did you borrow from him?

A \$1,500.

Q So that made the total loan \$2,500?

A Right.

Q How much vig. did you have to pay on the total loan at that point?

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

23

24

- A A hundred and a quarter.
 - Q How long did you pay the \$125.00 a week vig.?
- A For about three months, two and a half to three months, around that.
- At that time, did you come to borrow some more money from him?
- A Yes, I did.
 - Q How much more?
- A \$1,000.
- Q That raised your total principal to \$3,500?
- A Right, yes, \$3,500.
- Q You were paying \$175.00 a week vig. at that point?
- A Yes.
- Q Can you tell us when you stopped paying the \$175.00 a week vig.?
- A I stopped paying that around somewheres around near November, near December, near the end of November to the beginning of December.
- Q At that time, did you have a meeting with Zero at this Cycle Performance Work Shop?
- A Yes, I did.
 - Q Could you tell us the address of that as

.

A It's inbetween Avenue X and Y on McDonald Avenue in Brooklyn.

- Q Was anybody else at that meeting?
- A Yes, two of his partners.
 - Q His partners in what business?
- A Shylocking.

best you can remember?

Q What was said to you at that meeting and what did you say in return and tell us who caid what to you.

Well, I told Zero that I couldn't pay \$175.00 a week any more and he turned around and said to me that that was the bargain that we made together, that I had to pay the 175. I explained to him I didn't have it any more and he said to me that he's going to give me some kind of a different arrangement. I had to pay him, at the time, \$100.00 a week instead of -- \$100.00 a week instead of \$175.00 vig.

Q How long were you going to have to pay \$100.00 a week?

A I would have to pay \$100.00 a week until I came up with the whole 3,500.

Q To ahead, please.

A I told him I tried that \$100.00 a week and his

9

11

12

13

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

partners in there told me that I better not, I better pay these payments because he's giving me a brake, one of the guys and Zero was fooling around inside the place, you know, trying to put on a show --

- Q Was anything said to you inside?
- A Yes. I must pay the \$100.00 a week.
- Q Did anybody say, "Maybe we ought to get a gun and put a bullet in his head"?
- A Yes, that's what he said.
 - Q Who said that?
- A Zero said that.
 - Q When did he say that?
- A He said that inside the store.
 - Q When?
- A The day I went down there with the \$100.00, when I didn't have the \$175.00.
 - Q When was that?
- A That was the meeting that took place when I -on McDonald Avenue in the Cycle Shop, and I'm not sure
 of the dates, around November or December, late.
 - Q Of last year?
- A Last year.
 - Q At least six months apo?
- A Right.

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

	Q		At	that	tir	ne,	Zei	ro	said,	. "!	Maybe	e we
nught	to	get	a	gun	and	put	a	bı	let	in	his.	head"?

Did one of the other two men say, make it clear to you that they didn't go to you, you went to them to get the money?

Yes, that's what they said.

Q How long did you pay the \$100.00 a week?

About two months, three months.

That would take you up into February or so of this year?

Around there, right.

Did you have another meeting with Zero at that time which you discussed the amount of money you had to pay?

Yes, I did.

Right.

Q What did you say to Zero and what did he say to you?

A. I said to him I couldn't afford \$100.00 a week any more.

Where did that meeting take place?

That meeting took place, I think at the Cycle Shop.

Q Were the same two people who had been there before there at that time?

Yes.

What did Zero or either of those other two people say back to you?

A They said that, "We're going to give you a brake and now you'll pay \$50.00 a week and the loan will cost you \$4,800 and that wouldn't be a vig., that will be your regular payment." In other words, it will be taken off the top, off the principal. It would have to be 50 a week until it reaches \$4,800.

Q How long did you pay the \$50.00 a week?

A About two months, two and a half months,

three months.

Q Up to the time that you went to the F.B.I.?

A Yes, I did.

Q Actually you went to the District Attorney, didn't you?

A Yes, I did.

Q A District Attorney in Brooklyn put you in touch with the F.B.I.?

A Yes, he did.

Yes.

Q On any occasions, were there times when you couldn't make the payment of \$50.00 a week?

Q Can you tell us if you ever gave the money

to anybody besides Zero?

A Yes, I gave it to a man that works at the luncheonette, black hair, tall guy. I don't know his name, and I gave it to a kid. Sometimes a kid used to be working there behind the counter.

- Q I'm going to show you a photograph. Do you see either of those men in that photograph?

 A Yes, this one.
- Q Put a circle around his head, right around his whole face. (Marking)
- Q Put the date beside it and your initials.

 (Marking)

MR. MURPHY: May I have this marked as No. 2.

(Whereupon, the abovementioned photograph was marked as Grand Jury Exhibit No. 2.

- Q Which one of the two individuals did you just put your initials next to?
- A The guy I gave the money to.
 - Q Not the kid?
- A Not the kid, no.
- Q On Monday, May 15th of this year, did
 there come a time when you saw Zero parked in a Mercury

7 8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

automobile at the corner of 85th Street alongside a cemetary?

A Yes.

Q That's within the past month or so?

A Yes.

Q In other words, 1t was not 1971?

A No, it was 1972.

Q Was there anybody else in the car with him?

A Yes, a friend of his.

Q At that time, did you walk over to the car?

A Yes, I did.

Q When you approached the car, did you have any conversation with Zero?

A Yes.

Q At that time, were you behind in any of your payments?

A Yes, I was.

when you got over to the car, did Zero say to you, "I'm going to put you in a hospital where no hospital bill will ever pay the damages. I'm going to do to you"?

A Yes, he did.

Q He said that to you?

A Yes.

4 5

Was that before or after you went to the Brooklyn District Attorney's office?

A That was before I went to the District Attorney's office.

At that time, did he also tell you that for every \$1,000 you gave him, he will knock \$400.00 off the principal of the loan?

A Yes.

Q On at least one occasion, did a guy named Tony Basile accompany you to the luncheonette to pay Zero?

A Just once he did.

Let's go to May 29th, 1972, on that night, did this man, Patty McCabe who first introduced you to Zero come to your house?

A Yes.

Q He rang the doorbell?

A Right.

Q You went downstairs after he had spoken to your wife and your wife spoke to you? You went downstairs, didn't you?

A Yes, I did.

Q After going downstairs, did you see Zero out in the street?

. 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

Yes, I did. A

Q Was he in a car?

No; he was not. ...

Q When you got down there, did Zero ask you, "What's your excuse now?"

A Yes.

Q What did you understand him to be talking about?

A About payments of money.

Q You were late at that time?

Late at that time. A

Q What did you tell him?

I tried to tell him and all of a sudden I got belted.

Q Whr hit you?

A Zero.

Q Where did he hit you?

In the eye, on this side here, he hit me here. A He hit me on the chin and he hit me in the ribs.

Q Who else was standing right there when you were hit?

Patty. A

Q Anybody else?

No.

-2

Q Isn't it a fact that Patty jumped in front of Zero and kind of held him back from you?

A Yes, he did.

Q Did Zero succeed in saying to you, "You're not paying me any more, right?"

A That's right.

Q Did he get that out before he hit you?

Yes. He got that out before he hit me.

Q Did you get a chance to tell him that your pay was being garnished?

A I did.

Q There came a time within the past couple of weeks, did there not, when you went out and you had a meeting with Zero which was observed by F.B.I. agents?

A Yes.

Q At that time, did you wear a body recorder?

Yes, I did.

Q Would you tell the ladies and gentlemen of the Grand Jury what that device looked like and where you wore it.

A I had a recorder in my back pocket, back here
by where I keep my wallet in my righthand side. I had
like a tube right here by the stomach.

Q This was all inside your clothing?

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16.

17

19 20

21

22

23 24

- All inside my clothing, right.
- Where did you go to meet Zero, what's the first place you went to?
- I went to the luncheonette on Avenue X.
- After you went to the luncheonette at Avenue X, were you met by anybody?
- Yes, I met another guy there.
- Have you talked about that guy at all today? Is he one of the unknown persons you referred to in your answers, either the guy who used to get the money at the luncheonette or either of the two men inside the Cycle Shop?
- A No, he's not.
 - This is a new person?
- A new person. A
- Tell us what did you say to him and what did he say to you?
- A He said, "Do you have the money?" I said, "No, I haven't. I'd like to see Zero." I wanted to see Zero, talk to him. He said to me that Zero was at a friend's house -- some guy's house and, "Would you like to come in my car and I'll take you over there." I said, "No, I don't want to go in your car. Just tell him to meet me here by the store." He said to me --

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

Then it was going to work out where I wouldn't see
Zero at all and we made arrangements that I told
him that I'd meet him in front of Brown's Furniture,
Bram's Furniture store near Neil's across McDonald
Avenue by West 1st or West 2nd Street. I walked it
down there while he went to get Zero by Zero's
friend's house.

Q You walked down to Bram's by yourself? Yes, right. I walked down to Bram's by myself. While I was walking down there, I kept repeating in the recorder what street I was located on to the agents with the recorder on me. I told them exactly where I was going. I got to Neil's or Brown's store because they're both on the same side of the street. I got there, and I waited there for about five or ten minutes and then a car pulls up with the fellow that I met by the store and Zero. As soon as Zero got out of the car, he searched me. He started searching me, and I says, "What are you doing?" He said, "Never mind. Come on in the car. We'll talk this over." I says, "I'm not going into no car. I'm not going in nobody's car," and we ended up where we started talking and we went up the street. I told him that I didn't have -- I haven't been being paid. I've

been having trouble with my pay I kept telling him and one thing led to another and his friend kept telling him, "Look, he has a family as well as you have and he has to make good," and all that.

- Q At this time, how many of you were standing together, yourself and who else?
- A Myself and two other guys.
 - Q Who were the other two guys?
- A Zero and the fellow that I met by the store.
- Q He's the guy, the first fellow you met that evening?
- A Yes, that's right.
 - Q Go ahead, please.
- Me were talking by Neil's for about a few minutes and then he said to me, "Let's take a walk up the block." While we were walking up the block, he said to me that I was, that he was good to me and all this and that he called me a few names and a car pulled up, and I don't know if he made the threat to me or the person that came out of the car, but he did mention the fact that his friend had a gun.
- Q Didn't somebody say to you when that car pulled up, "If he moves, put a bullet in him"?
- A Yes.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

? That was said by Zero?

A Yes.

At the time, was the other man standing behind you?

A Yes, he was.

Q When you went to the Brooklyn District Attorney's office, were you freightened?

A Yes, I was.

Q Who were you freightened of?

A I was freightened of Zero.

Q Why were you freightened of Zero?

A Because I know that the man is a sadistic kind of a guy. He doesn't care -- he always kept telling me that if he wanted to do something to you, he'd do it any time.

Q Were you fearful of bodily harm to yourself?

No, more for my family.

Q Didn't you so out and spend a weekend at a motel?

A Yes, I did.

Trying to get away from this guy?

A Yes, I did.

A Have you been back to work since you went to the F.B.I.?

- O Are you fearful to go back to work because you don't want Zero to get in touch with you?
- A Yes, that's true.
- Q When this remark was made about the gun and putting a bullet in somebody, did you really think that he was talking about somebody else?
- A I think he was talking about me.

No, sir. I have not.

- Q You thought he was talking about you?
- A Yes.
 - Q At that time, did you think it was a joke?
- A No, I did not.

MR. MURPHY: I have no
further questions of this witness
at this time. May his subpoena be
adjourned so that he will realize
he has to return here upon telephone
notice.

THE FOREMAN: The subpoena is adjourned and by notice, you will return to the Grand Jury.

(Whereupon, the witness was excused and withdrew.)

21

22

23

24

25

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

250°, 10

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

-against-

Criminal Folder

"ZERO" a/k/a CIRO R. RICCARDI No. 723,242

GRAND JURY MINUTES

April 18, 1973

225 Cadman Plaza East Brooklyn, New York

Presented By: ALAN R. NAFTALIS, ESQ., Special Attorney, Department of Justice

Reported By: Maureen Kramer

Witness:

FRANK DIPALMA

FRANK DiPALMA, after having been duly sworn assumed his seat as a witness and testified as follows:

EXAMINATION BY MR. NAFTALIS:

Q Mr. DiPalma, I first want to ask you have you come here voluntarily as a witness?

A Yes.

Q Mr. DiPalma, I want to give you your rights. While you are not a target in this case, I do want to, for the record, instruct you as to exactly what your constitutional rights are.

First of all, Mr. DiPalma, we have rights under the fifth amendment which means that you don't have to say anything incriminating. If you feel the statement is going to be incriminating, by that, I mean will reveal criminal behavior on your part or lead to the finding of evidence of criminal behavior on your part, you don't have to testify to that. You can invoke your fifth amendment right.

Moreover, you are also entitled to counsel and for the Grand Jury, that means if you should so desire, you may consult with a lawyer prior to your appearance and you can have a lawyer right outside that door and you can talk to him any time you wish by just metting up, walking

3

4

5

6

7

8

*

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

out and asking him any questions you have about your rights and about the questions being posed to you here in the Grand Jury.

Do you understand that?

A Yes.

Q Mr. DiPalma, have you ever appeared before the Grand Jury?

A Yes.

Q Did you appear here on May 22, 1972?

A Yes.

Q Did you appear here on June 13, 1972?

A Yes.

Q I show you these two documents. Do you recognize them?

A Yes, I do.

Q Are these transcripts of your testimony on those two dates?

A Yes, I remember this.

Q Were you under oath when you testified at that time?

Tes, I was.

Q Mr. DiPalma, I am going to read the transcript to you, and I want you to listen to it and then I will ask you certain questions about it when I'm finished.

21

22

23

24

25

(Whereupon the two transcripts were marked as Grand Jury Exhibits 1 and 2 and read to the Grand Jury.)

Q Was that your testimony on those two occasions that I just read to you?

A Yes.

Q Were you telling the truth at that time?

A Yes, I was.

Q Mr. DiPalma, if you were to testify here today for the first time, would you tell the same story now that you told then?

A Yes, I would.

Q It is the truth?

A Yes, sir. It is.

MR. NAFTALIS: Mark this photograph as Grand Jury Exhibit 3.

(Whereupon a photograph was marked as Grand Jury Exhibit 3.)

MR. NAFTALIS: I have no further questions.

THE FOREMAN: You are continued under subpoena subject to recall on notification from Mr. Naftalis's office. You are excused for the day.

(Whereupon the witness was excused

and withdrew.)

If they have any questions, tell them Judge Platt ordered them to be here.

AGENT RUFFELS: That's pretty definite.

(The jury enters the jury box.)

most sophisticated equipment breaks down. Unfortunately, it doesn't happen too often, but it happened to us. We're going to take a witness out of order. I don't think it will be too confusing for you. You'll have that witness's direct testimony this afternoon and then we'll go back to this equipment, and Mr. Ruffels' cross-examination and the cross-examination of the witness who is about to take the stand. We'll have that also, tomorrow, after Mr. Ruffels.

Just keep in mind where we're at, and we're taking a witness out of order.

MR. NAFTALIS: Thank you, your Honor.
The Government calls Frank DiPalma.

FRANK DiPALMA, called as a witness, was
duly sworn by the Clerk of the Court, testified
as follows:

THE CLERK: Full name for the record?

THE WITNESS: Frank DiPalma, D-i-P-a-l-m-a.

· DiPalma-direct

11	15		
1			· DiPalma-direct
2	DIRECT	EXAMINA	NOITA
3	BY MR.	NAFTAL	ts:
4		Q	Mr. DiPalma, are you married?
5	A	Yes.	
6		Q	Do you have any children?
7		A	Yes.
8		Q	Please indicate to the Court their ages.
9		A	Ten and five.
10		Q	Do you live with your wife and children?
11		A	Yes.
12		Q	Do you live here in Brooklyn?
13		A	Yes.
14		0	By whom are you employed?
15		A	New York City Transit Authority.
16		Q	What's your occupation?
17		A	Car cleaner.
18		Q	How long have you been so employed?
19		A	Close to six years.
20		Q	Mr. DiPalma, were you working for the
21	Trans	it Auth	ority in 1972?
22		A	Yes.
23		Q	Mr. DiPalma, have you ever been convicted of
24	any c	rime?	
25		A WE	No, sir.

Q	Ever been arrested for any crime?
A	No, sir.
Q	Mr. DiPalma, are you now or have you ever
a bo	okmaker?
A	Once, yes.
Q	Would you explain to the Court and members
e ju	ry what involvement you had, if any?
	MR. NEWMAN: Might we know, your Honor,
wh	en this was, approximately?
	THE COURT: I assume When was this that
yo	u were once a bookmaker?
	THE WITNESS: In 1970 '72.
	THE COURT: 1970 to '72?
	THE WITNESS: Yes.
	THE COURT: Three years?
	THE WITNESS: Yes.
Q	Mr. DiPalma, would you please explain to the
rs c	f the jury and the Court the nature of your
ity?	
Α	I used to pick up horse action in the place,
wn t	to the track or go to OTB, because I was on nights
e ti	me.
Q	When you said you'd pick up action at the

place, what place did you mean, Mr. DiPalma?

DiPalma-direct

. 11	
2	A Coney Island Avenue shop.
3	Q Was this a Transit Authority
4	A Transit Authority, right.
5	As I understand your testimony, you would
6	pick up bets there and go to the track?
7	A Yes.
8	Q And what would you do when you got to the
9	track?
10	A I'd hedge off the bets, or I'd hold the bets
11	I thought the horse couldn't in.
12	Q What do you mean by hedging off the bets?
13	A In other words, if I felt the horse couldn't
14	win that race, I would hold onto what they gave me to bet.
15	Q If you thought the horse would lose If
16	the horse would win?
17	A Then I would bet the horse.
18	Q Where would you bet it?
19	A At the track.
20	Q Where at the track?
21	A Aqueduct, Belmont.
22	Q Where at the track would you place your bet?
23	A At the windows.
24	Q You would go to the regular paramutuel
25	window and bet?

4		DiPalma-direct
	Q	Are there times you couldn't go to the track,
whe	re would y	ou place your bets?
	A	OTB.
	Q "-	You would walk into an OTB office and bet?
	A	Yes.
	Q	As I understand your testimony, you would bet
		, at the paramutuel window, and when you
cou	ıldn't go t	to the paramutuel window, you went to OTB
of	fices and h	pet?
	A	Yes.
	Q	This is what you describe as your bookmaking
	eration?	
	А	Yes, but I don't do that every day. Somebody
el		I did it a few times, three or four times a
6 we	ek, whenev	ver I wanted to come in and do it, I did it.
7	Q	So, as I understand it, basically, your
8 of	peration wa	as picking up bets for people
9		MR. NEWMAN: Objection.
		THE COURT: Don't lead the witness.
20		Don't summarize his testimony. Ask him
22	ques	tions about it.
		other than betting at the para-

Mr. DiPalma, other than betting Q mutuel window, or betting at the OTB office, did you bet with any other source?

"	19		
,			DiPalma-direct
2	A	Dia :	I bet personally?
3		Q	No, the action that you took.
4	A	I doi	n't understand.
5		Q	Did you place your bets with any other place
6	or per	rson of	ther than the paramutuel
7	A	No,	the windows or I held it myself.
8		Q	Or at OTB?
9		A	Or at OTB or I'd hold it myself.
10		Q	Do you know a man named Ciro Riccardi?
11		A	Yes.
12		Q	Do you see that man present in the courtoom
13	today?	•	
14		A	Yes, sir.
15		Q	Would you please identify which man it is?
16		A	The man sitting there with the glass(sic) in
17	his mo	uth.	
19		Q	How did you first come to know Ciro Riccardi?
19		A	I needed money, and a friend of mine that
20	works	with m	e in the barn where I work, Avenue X, told me
21			MR. LA ROSSA: Objection.
22			THE COURT: Don't recite what anybody told
23		you o	outside of the presence of these two defendants.
24		You n	meeded money, so somebody took you to him?

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.

DiPalma-direct

You said what he told you vig was.

- Q Would you please tell us what Mr. Riccardi told you vig was.
- A He said, "It's \$50 a week, or \$1,050 the following week."
- Q What happens if you didn't have the \$1,050 the following week?
 - A Then you'd pay \$50 a week--

MR. LA ROSSA: I object to this.

THE COURT: That's all right.

MR. LA ROSSA: Are we asking what the conversation is?

MR. NAFTALIS: I'm referring to the conversation.

MR. LA ROSSA: It doesn't appear --

THE COURT: Tell us what he told you was going to be, what vig meant and what you were going to have to do insofar as this is concerned, the installments.

- A He told me -- First, he gave me \$1,000 and he told me that it would cost me \$50 a week and then he told me that if I didn't have the \$1,050 the following week it would still stay at the same principal, \$1,000.
- Q What amount, if any, would you have to pay the following week?

	11
	11
1	11
	II
	II
2	H
	11
3	II
•	11
	II
4	II
	II
5	II
•	II
	II
6	1
	I
7	
6	
8	1
9	١
,	١
	1
10	١
	1
11	١
"	1
	١
12	١
13	1
13	
	١
14	
	-
15	
16	
17	,
•	
18	3
10	•
20)
2	1
•	•

23

24

25

DiPalma-direct

A \$50 a week if I didn't have the \$1	the \$1,0	the	have	didn't	I	if	a week	\$50		
--	-----------	-----	------	--------	---	----	--------	------	--	--

Q Did he indicate to you how long you must continue paying that \$50?

MR. LA ROSSA: I object to the form of these questions. I think the witness should be askedTHE COURT: I'll allow it. You're overruled.

MR. LA ROSSA: I'm objecting to the form.

THE COURT: I understand. That question is all right.

Q Continue, Mr. DiPalma.

A What did you say?

MR. NAFTALIS: Would the reporter please read the question back?

(The last question was read back by the reporter.)

MR. NEWMAN: Might I respectfully request nothing is binding on the defendant Gigliotti?

THE COURT: Up to this point the only person involved is Mr. Riccardi.

A No, you could pay it forever, as long as you give him the \$50 a week.

Q Did he indicate to you when there would come a time when you wouldn't have to pay the \$50 any more?

A No.

11	'23	
1		DiPalma-direct
2	Q	Now, Mr. DiPalma, did you continue to pay the
3	money?	
4	. A	Yes, sir.
5	Q	How long did you continue to pay this \$50 a
6	week payments	17
7	A	Around three months.
8	Q	Then what happened, if anything?
9	A	I went back and borrowed \$1500 more.
16	Q	Who did you borrow it from?
11	A	Ciro Riccardi.
12	Q	What if anything did you say to him at the
13	time?	
14	A	"I needed the money because I was strapped."
15	0	Fat did he say to you, if anything?
16	A	He didn't reply. He said, "Come and see me
17	mext week."	
:8	Q	Did you continue to see him the following
19	week?	
20		Yes.
21	Q	What if anything happened then?
22	A	He gave me \$1500 more.
23	Q	What if anything did he say to you at that
24	time concern	ing your weekly vig payment?
25	A	He said I would have to pay \$125 a week.

	24	
1		
2		THE CC
3		THE WI
5	Q	This is
	wig payment?	
6	A	Yes.
7	0	What d
	A	I said
9	0	Mr. Di
10	\$1,000 that	you had
11	A	\$1,000
12	comes out to	\$1,000.
13		THE CO
14	o	Had yo
15	A	The pr
16		THE CO
	the \$	1,000?
17		THE WI
18		Mr. Di
19	0	
20	three months	
21	A	I'm so

23

24

25

DiPalma-direct

URT: Which, \$125--

TNESS: \$125.

- s what he said with respect to your
 - id you say to him?
 - o.k.
- Palma, at this point had you paid the borrowed before?
- in three months, I don't know if it

URT: No--

- u paid the principal?
- incipal, yes, sir.
- URT: You paid the principal back on

TNESS: Yes, sir.

- Palma, had you during that intervening incipal or only vig?
- rry, I paid vig. I didn't understand. I paid vig all the time. It was never principal. It was always vig.
- Q Now you've just testified that you were told that the vig payment is \$125. How long did you continue

- 11	25		
1			DiPalma-direct
2	paying	this?	
3		A	About, a few months.
4		Q	Then what happened?
5			THE COURT: Was it on top of the \$50? In
6		other w	words, you were paying \$75 more or were you
7		paying	\$125 plus \$50?
8			THE WITNESS: No, sir, I was paying \$75
9		\$50 pl	us \$75 on top.
10			THE COURT: All right, \$125 in toto.
11			MR. LA ROSSA: Re-fix a date on that last
12		conver	sation, when it was.
13			THE COURT: About when was that?
14			THE WITNESS: Sometime in 1972, your Honor.
15			THE COURT: You can't do better than that?
16			THE WITNESS: No, sir.
17			THE COURT: All right.
18	~		MR. LA ROSSA: May we fix a place?
19			THE WITNESS: The place?
20			MR. NAFTALIS: Your Honor, isn't this subject
21		for cr	coss-examination?
22			THE COURT: No, it's perfectly proper.
23		Q	Mr. DiPalma, do You recall where you met with

t with Mr. Riccardi?

The first place, I recall going back to the first place, and the second and the third, if I may.

Dipalma-direct

THE COURT: Tell us.

THE WITNESS: The first place was we spoke in a car, gave me the money in a candy store. It's located on Avenue X and I'm not sure about the street, again. It's across the street from a Chinese restaurant. That's all I know about that first place.

The second place he gave me the money in a motorcycle shop. That's located McDonald Avenue between Avenue Z and the street that's above -- Avenue X and the street that is above Avenue X.

Q All right. Now, Mr. DiPalma, did you pay that \$125 a week vig?

A Yes, sir.

Q How long did you pay that vig--

MR. LA ROSSA: Object to the form of these questions.

THE COURT: Overruled.

MR. LA ROSSA: The prosecutor is characteris-

THE COURT: He characterized it as vig.

MR. LA ROSSA: The witness may. I think you should caution him.

THE COURT: Overruled.

- 11	27				
1	DiPalma-direct				
2	Q Mr. DiPalma, how long did you continue to				
3	make that \$125 vig payment?				
4	A Around five or six months.				
5	Q What happened then?				
6	A Then I needed another \$1,000.				
7	Q What did you do about raising the money?				
8	What if anything did you do?				
9	A I went back and seen Circ.				
10	Q Who do you mean by that?				
,,	A The gentleman sitting right there.				
12	Q Would you be a little more specific?				
13	A The man sitting there with the glasses.				
14	Q Is that the man you earlier identified as				
*	being Mr. Riccardi?				
16	A Yes, sir.				
17	Q What happened when you saw Mr. Riccardi this				
18	third time?				
19	A The third time he met me in a Lincoln. He ha				
20	a Lincoln with an EnglishItalian bulldog in the back.				
21	That's what I remember that time, Italian bulldog.				
22	Q What if anything did you say to him?				
23	A I needed another \$1,000.				
24	Q What if anything did he say to you?				
25	A He gave it to me the following Monday.				

2

3

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DiPalma-direct

I don't know if it was on a Thursday or a Friday, but I know he got it in a few days. It could have been Monday or it could have been on a Tuesday that he gave it to me.

- Q When he met with you and gave you the mossy, what if anything did he say to you then?
 - A He said that now it would cost me \$175 a week.
 - Q What was that \$175 supposed to be?
- A That was supposed to be the vig that would go, stay the same until you came up with \$3500--\$175.
- Q Mr. DiPalma, you testified that you came to see Mr. Ricardi three times and borrowed money?
 - A Yes.
- Q Was Mr. Riccardi the only person at that time that you were borrowing money from?
 - A No, sir.
- would you please indicate to the Court and the jury who else you were borrowing money from?

MR. LA ROSSA: Objection.

THE COURT: Is it connected in any way with this case?

MR. NAFTALIS: Your Honor, I believe it is relevant.

THE COURT: The connection with either of these two defendants?

DiPalma-direct

MR. LA ROSSA: I don't think it should be answered like that, your Honor. I'm afraid something might be said.

MR. NAFTALIS: I can approach the bench.

THE COURT: Very well.

(Side bar)

MR. NAFTALIS: Your Honor, Mr. DiPalma will not be testifying at this point with respect to any other persons the Government alleges is on-- He'll be testifying not as to Riccardi, but as to normal fiduciary institutions, that he borrowed money from.

Your Honor, the credibility, as has been indicated in advance by Mr. LaRossa as being significant—it has been alleged in the opening address by Mr. LaRossa that Mr. DiPalma was an active loan shark and active bookmaker, and I believe because of this and because of the evidence of the defense—I expect the defense to be offering in defense of these defendants—

THE COURT: You're anticipating something.

MR. LA ROSSA: He admitted he's a bookmaker.

MR. NAFTALIS: I believe it's relevant.

THE COURT: With what?

MR. NAFTALIS: With respect to this man, if

Ġ

DiPalma-direct

he was a loan shark, he wouldn't be borrowing money from various institutions.

THE COURT: If you're trying to bring it out on cross-examination or testimony to that effect, then you could put him on for this purpose-You can't anticipate something that may or not occur. There's been no contention apart from the fact that Mr. LaRossa stuck his neck out, which he may not be able to support, but if he doesn't choose to support it, it won't be relevant.

MR. NAFTALIS: I'll hold that off for rebuttal purposes.

THE COURT: I would think so. Maybe on redirect after you get finished with cross-examination.

MR. NAFTALIS: Very well.

(The following occurred in open court.)

- Q Mr. DiPalma, going back to the period which you testified you borrowed money from Mr. Riccardi, what was your general state or financial condition at the time?
 - A Bad, very bad.
 - Q Could you please explain that to the Court?

 MR. LA RCSSA: Objection.

THE COURT: I'll allow it.

MEX HOUSE

DiPalma-direct

I owed National City Bank-- First National City Pank, Chase Manhattan Bank, Household Finance, Beneficial Finance, Commercial Credit Union, Bankers Trust, a few odds and ends, plus I owed my relatives money, and mother, father, my aunt and uncle, my sister-in-law, brother-in-law.

Q Mr. DiPalma, what if anything did you do with the money that you had received from those various banks and people that you just named?

A with that, plus with my gambling, I used to give whatever I could get up to pay the vig during the week and use it for gambling.

- Q How did you go about this gambling?
- A I'd bet horses, baseball, football, any sport.

 I was a degenerate gambler. That's what I was.
- Would you explain to the Court and to the members of the jury what you mean by the term "degenerate gambler"?

A It's a person who just likes to go--likes to gamble; a person that doesn't care about the people that love him, a person that just doesn't give a damn, as long as--he's happy gambling, that's it. It may be horses, it may be anything, gambling on anything.

Q What were you gambling on at the time?

۱۱ ۱			DII dima-dii oc				
2	λ	Mostly	on horses and sports.				
3	,	Q	Where were you placing these bets?				
4		A	With bookies.				
5	1	Q	Mr. DiPalma, you've testified as to a				
6	rather	long 1	ist				
7			MR. LA ROSSA: I object to the form of that				
8		questi	on.				
9			THE COURT: I'll allow that. It's just a				
10		summar	ization; it's not important. Go ahead.				
11			MR. NAFTALIS: Thank you, your Honor.				
12		Q	Mr. DiPalma, you've testified to a rather				
13	long 1	long list of institutions that you owed money to. Approxi					
14	mately	how mu	ch do you believe you owed at that time?				
15		A	About \$12,000, \$13,000.				
16		Q	How much were you earning at your job?				
17		A	\$140 a week.				
18		Q	Is that take-home or gross?				
19	. 1	A	Take-home.				
20	\	Q	Approximately how much is that a year that				
21	you we	re earn	ing?				
22		À	Around \$9,000.				
23		Q	Mr. DiPalma, you testified that your vig				
24	paymen	ts beca	me \$175 a week?				
25		A	Yes.				

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DiPalma-direct

Q Mr. DiPalma, how long did you continue to pay this \$175 a week?

MR. LA ROSSA: I object to the form of the question.

THE COURT: I'll allow it.

- o Mr. DiPalma?
- A About four or five months.
- Q You paid it for four or five months?
- A Yes, sir.
- Q Does that mean you paid it every week?
- A I paid it every week, \$175.

Q Mr. DiPalma, did there come a time that you could not make your vig payment?

MR. LA ROSSA: Objection to the form of the question.

THE COURT: I'll sustain that.

Q Mr. DiPalma, did there come a time that you could not pay Mr. Riccardi?

MR. LA ROSSA: Objection to the form of the question.

THE COURT: Yes, I'll sustain the form.

Q Mr. DiPalma, you've testified that you paid this for a couple of months?

A Yes.

DiPalma-direct

Q	Then	what	happened?	

- A There came a time that I just didn't have the \$175 any more.
 - Q How much? I'm sorry.
 - A I didn't have \$175 any more.
 - Q What did you do then?

MR. LA ROSSA: Might we fix a time and place?

THE WITNESS: 1973 and 1972.

Q Continue. What did you do then, Mr. Di-

Palma?

- A I went and seen him in the motorcycle shop.
- Q When you say "him," who do you mean?
- A Ciro Riccardi.
- Q What if anything did you say to him?

MR. LA ROSSA: May it please the Court,

I'll have to necessarily object in view of the

witness's answer to the time. I direct the Court's

attention to the indictment.

THE COURT: I understand what the indictment says. Are you able to fix any kind of month on this?

THE WITNESS: Your Honor, it's hard for me because it's been three years since I had this case, and it's hard for me to fix time. I'm not even sure

DiPalma-direct

if it's '72 or '73.

THE COURT: Let me ask you this question:

Do you recall the time when you wore a tape recorder and talked with one or more of these persons—one or more persons?

THE WITNESS: It was in the summer months.

Q I said do you recall that incident?
THE WITNESS: Yes.

THE COURT: Was this conversation which you're about to relate when you went to see Mr. Riccardi in the motorcycle shop before or after that?

THE WITNESS: This was after that.

THE COURT: After that?

THE WITNESS: Yes, much after that.

MR. NAFTALIS: I don't think he follows.

MR. LA ROSSA: I object to that.

THE COURT: I don't know if he follows or not.

MR. LA ROSSA: I ask that that be stricken from the record.

THE COURT: Disregard counsel's comment.

MR. NAFTALIS: If I may, your Honor?

THE COURT: Yes.

Q Mr. DiPalma, his Honor has just referred to

65

2

1

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

	36
,	DiPalma-direct
2	a time when you wore a body recorder.
3	A Yes.
	Q Do you recall that?
5	A Yes, I do.
6	Q Who did you wear that body recorder?
7	A Who did I wear it for?
8	Q Yes.
9	A For the FBI.
10	Q Now going back to your earlier testimony,
11	Mr. DiPalma, when you spoke about the \$175 a week vig pay-
12	ments, when you were making those payments, was that before
13	or after you began to speak to the FBI?
14	A Excuse me, I didn't hear that.
15	Q I'll try to repeat it, Mr. DiPalma.
16	You testified a few months ago, Mr. DiPalma,
17	that you were paying \$175 a week in vig; is that correct?
18	A Yes.
19	Q You also testified that a time came when you
20	just couldn't make that payment; is that correct?
21	A Right.
	a the time you couldn't make that payment,

was that before or after you began to talk to the FBI?

That was before. THE COURT: How long before?

3

5

6

7

8

. 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DiPalma-direct

THE WITNESS: Once again --

THE COURT: A week, two weeks, a month?

THE WITNESS: It had to be months, at least

a month.

THE COURT: All right.

Q Mr. DiPalma, referring to this time when you could not make your \$175 a week vig payment, what if anything did you do then?

A Well, I went back and seen Ciro Riccardi and had a meeting with him that night.

Q What if anything did you say to him or did he say to you?

A Well, at first he didn't want-- At first he told me-- He said, "There's nothing you can do for me."

As I was telling him about the situation I was in, he turned around and told me he'd make it \$150.

- Q Is that the vig payment?
- A Yes.
- Q What did you say to him then?
- A I told him it would be hard.

He said he had his own problems and that's the way it had to be.

- Q What if anything did you do then?
- A Well, one week led to another, where I just

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DiPalma-direct

Couldn't get the money up. Then there was an incident that I recall well, is that at the end of the week, which was on a Friday, my wife told me there was nothing in the house--

MR. LA ROSSA: Objection.
THE COURT: Strike out what your wife said.

THE WITNESS: O.K.

A (Continuing) We had no food in the house-MR. LA ROSSA: I object to this.

THE COURT: I'll allow him to testify he had no food in the house.

O Continue.

A We had no food in the house on a Friday.

I already made my payment of \$150.

Q To who?

A Ciro Riccardi.

o Continue.

A I went back Saturday morning and asked him for a hundred dollars, if he can put it on the side.

He told me no.

Q What did you tell him you needed the money for?

A Food.

Q What did he say to you?

A No, he can't do it.

DiPalma-direct

Continue, Mr. DiPalma, what happened next?
From there I went back home, I went out to
where my mother lives, and I got the money from
What money is this, Mr. DiPalma?
MR. LA ROSSA: Objection.
Money for
THE COURT: I'll sustain it.
(Continuing) I went to Jersey
THE COURT: No, I sustained it.
THE WITNESS: Sustained? I'm sorry.
What did you do with the money you got in
MR. LA ROSSA: Objection.
THE COURT: I'll sustain it.
Mr. DiPalma, what happened next?
Well, a week or two went by and I came over
im on Avenue X again.
Who is "him"?
Ciro. I met Ciro Riccardi on Avenue X.
Can I stop there and start all over again?
Sure.
Sure. Prior to that I met I was ducking him for a

25

40	
	DiPalma-direct
	Q Why were you hiding?
	A I didn't have the 175.
	MR. LA ROSSA: Objection.
	THE COURT: I'll sustain it. Disregard it,
	ladies and gentlemen.
	Q Mr. DiPalma, what happened after you were
duck	ing him?
	A I was driving on 85th Street, going home on
a Th	arsday night and a car stopped me on the corner of 16th
Aven	me and 85th Street, pulled me over to a cemetery by the
john	ay pump.
	I got out of the car, which at the time was
1966	Oldsmobile, walked over to & 1966 Lincoln, I believe.
	Q Who, if anyone, was in that car?
A	Ciro Riccardi, and that gentleman with the brown
suit	there (indicating).
	Q They were both in the car?
A	That's right.
	Q What if anything did you say, and what if
anyt	ning did they say?
A	Well

MR. NEWMAN: Might we know who said it? I object to the use of "they," or "he."

THE COURT: You're sure it was the gentleman

DiPalma-direct

with the brown suit?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

THE COURT: Can you identify which particular gentleman said what?

THE WITNESS: Yes, I can.

THE COURT: All right.

A Ciro Riccardi asked me where have I been.

I told him I'd been around. "I haven't had a chance to

come down and see you yet."

He told me that if I don't get this money up he's going to put me in the hospital where no doctor bills are going to be able to pay for it.

- Q What did you say then?
- A I says, "Well, I'm having a hard time."

He says he's having a hard time, too, doesn't want to know about my hard times.

- Q What if anything else did he say?
- A When he said that, the gentleman there with the brown suit (indicating) said, "Look," in the words of this, he said, "It doesn't pay for you to get hurt."

 He said, "Why don't you pay him? or do what you can to pay him?" Then he says, "Go ahead and go."

I left that day, went back home.

Q What happened next, Mr. DiPalma?

•

__

DiPalma-direct

a phony check for \$900, brought it down to see him, which was on a Friday, showed him the check and told him I was going to use that to pay off what I owed him, off the top. It would come off the top, in other words. In other words, if I gave him \$900 from \$3500 down it would cost me one hundred and a quarter. That's what it would cost me if I brought it down to \$900, if I gave him the nine hundred.

well, I didn't have \$900. I just did that to buy some time to see if somebody could lend me some money. It just came down to the fact, I couldn't get any more money any more.

- Q Mr. DiPalma, you referred to "him."
- A Ciro Riccardi.
 - What happened next, Mr. DiPalma?
- A It was the following day and I had to go and face him for the money and I didn't have-- not even fifty cents on me.

MR. LA ROSSA: Let's see if we can fix a date on this, please.

THE COURT: Are we still before the time--

THE COURT: Before you went to the FBI?

THE WITNESS: Before.

THE COURT: How much before, do you have

DiPalma-direct

any idea?

THE WITNESS: I would say two weeks before

THE COURT: Very well.

THE WITNESS: It was two weeks before the

FBI.

now.

Q What happened then, Mr. DiPalma?

I made out-- I didn't have the money, like
I said before. I didn't have the money. I went to the
park. Nobody was there but myself. I made up my mind.
I didn't know what to do because prior to all these times
when I didn't have the payment--

MR. LA ROSSA: I object to this, your

THE COURT: Don't give us your mental processes. Did you go see either of the defendants?

THE WITNESS: No, sir, I did not go see the defendant. I didn't go see the defendant, but I

went to the Coney Island Police Station.

Q What if anything did you tell the police?

A I spoke to a detective at the desk and he suggested that I would talk to people downtown in the District Attorney's office.

Q Then whatif anything happened?

11	44	
,		DiPalm i direct
2	Α 1	That was the day I went down to the District
3	Attorney's offi	lce.
4	Q 1	How did you get down to the District
5	Attorney's off	Lce?
6	A	They drove me down.
7	Q	"They"? Who do you refer to as "they"?
	A	Two detectives.
,	Q	Mr. DiPalma, have you ever heard of the man
10	named Detectiv	e Kinsella?
11		Yes, sir.
12		Where do you have Detective Kinsella from?
13	A	He was one of the officers that came to the
14	house with me	
15	Q	Mr. DiPalma, Detective Kinsella, is he related
16	to you?	
17	A	No, sir.
18	Q	By marriage?
19	A	No, sir.
20	Q	Before you ever went to the police station
21	that day, had	you ever seen Detective Kinsella?
22	A	No.
23	Q	Had you ever spoken to him?
24	A	No.
25	Q	Did you know anything about him?

DiPalma-direct

-		-	0	
A		м	ο.	_

- Q Since that day you met with Detective Kinsella, that day, have you ever been with him again?
 - A No, sir.
 - Q Have you ever talked to him?
 - A No, sir.
 - Q Has he since become a member of your family?
 - A No, sir.
- Mr. DiPalma, you testified that the detectives took you down to the District Attorney's office. What if anything happened then?
- A They brought me up, brought me into their office, and I sat there and spoke to one Captain Evans.
 - Q What if anything did you tell Captain Evans--
 - A I explained--

MR. LA ROSSA: Objection.

THE COURT: He can say what he said to Captain Evans. He can't say what Captain Evans said to him.

A (Continuing) I explained to Captain Evans-MR. LA ROSSA: Might I tell the Court if we
fix the date on this, you'll understand what my
purpose is?

THE COURT: Can we fix a date?

DiPalma-direct

THE WITNESS: Your Honor, if I knew exactly the date, believe me, I would say it, but I cannot fix a date because I don't know.

MR. LA ROSSA: He's testified it's subsequent to the time he went to the police station. We've gotten those dates from the police witness, so I now refer your Honor to the indictment again and I ask your Honor to exclude this testimony based upon the fact that it's outside the scope of the indictment. We now have the dates fixed.

MR. NAFTALIS: Your Honor, this is within the period of the indictment.

THE COURT: No, it isn't--

MR. NAFTALIS: Your Honor, I believe this is May 17, 1972. The indictment says "May 18, 1972."

THE COURT: I beg your pardon?

I guess that's right.

MR. NAFTALIS: If I may approach the bench?
THE COURT: No, there's no reason for that.

I'll allow it.

MR. LA ROSSA: Note my objection.

Q What if anything did you tell Detective-I'm sorry. Captain Evans.

A I told Captain Evans that I was involved in

DiPalma-direct

a shylock case where I borrowed money and I couldn't pay it back any more. I didn't know what to do and I decided to come to the police, and Captain Evans told me--

THE COURT: No, not what Captain Evans told you.

A (Continuing) I met the next morning at nine o'clock. I came back and I met with the FIB.

Mr. DiPalma, referring back to the 17th, the day that you met with Captain Evans, would you please tell the Court and the members of the jury what if anything happened that evening.

A Excuse me, would you say that again?

Q I'm sorry, Mr. DiPalma, I'll try to keep my voice up.

Mr. DiPalma, referring back to May 17th, which is the day that you testified you met with Captain Evans, what if anything happened that night?

A That night? That night two-- Sergeant Olesky drove me home in his car and we parked the car on 17th Avenue and 85th Street and we walked up the street, brought me to my house, and he came upstairs and another detective who I called Jimmy, who I met by the name of Jimmy, down there, came upstairs after Sergeant Olesky was upstairs.

DiPalma-direct

- O Then what if anything happened?
- A About an hour-- Excuse me, a half hour later some people were banging on my door, wanted to get in.

 Sergeant Olesky told me to go into the bedroom and they drew their guns.
 - O Now, what if anything else happened?
- Mell, I went by the window in the bedroom and my children were sleeping. My wife was not. She was up.

We heard banging, "Open up the door. We'll bust it down. You'd better pay..." such and such. You know, the words, about two or three minutes later they left. Walking down the stairs was Ciro Riccardi.

- O How do you know that it was Ciro Riccardi?
- A Because I know.
- Q How do you know?
- A How do I know?
- O Yes.
- A At the time Ciro Riccardi had a goatee, hair combed down to the side. And how do I know is because from my right window in the bedroom as you go downstairs, the light shines right in your face and you can see exactly who it was. That's how I know who it was.
- Q Did there come a time that you looked out of the window?

Court?

DiPalma-direct

A That was the time that I looked out the window.

That's how I knew who it was, by looking out that window,

facing the light by my house.

O Where is this light?

A The light is directly in front of that house, 1670 85th Street.

Q Mr. DiPalma, did there come a time when you saw Ciro Riccardi again?

A Yes.

Q Would you tell the members of the jury and the

A I was hiding from my own--

MR. LA ROSSA: I object to this, move it be stricken. It's not responsive to the question.

THE COURT: Yes, did there come a time, and you said yes. Would you tell us what happened?

Don't tell us your mental processes.

THE WITNESS: I'm sorry.

THE COURT: You were at your home. When was this, a day or two after, a week or two after the last incident, or how long after?

THE WITNESS: This is a week later, after

I spoke to the FBI. I was in my home and a friend

of mine from my place came in my house, spoke to my

24

25

DiPalma-direct

wife and said--

MR. LA ROSSA: Objection.

THE COURT: Sustained.

Q Mr. DiPalma, what happened? What did you do

then?

A What did I do? I went downstairs, went to the corner and seen Ciro Riccardi there.

Q How do you know it was Ciro Riccardi?

a right in my stomach, another right in my chest, and if it wasn't for this particular party that was there, I probably would have been worse off.

o Mr. DiPalma, before this altercation you just described, did you have an opportunity to see who that man

was?

- A Yes, sir.
- Q Can you identify who that man was?
- A Yes, sir.
- Q Is he in court?
- A Yes.
- o Who is he?
- A Right there (indicating).
- Which man is that, please?
- A Pardon me?

DiPalma-direct

11	51
1	
	Q
3	. · A
4	
2 3 4 5 6 7	and the
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	Court'
12	was on
13	
14	
15	testin
16	parame
17	
18	
19	Q
20	λ
21	wife came do
	Q

23

24

25

The second man (indicating).

Which man?

THE COURT: The second man, with the suit on e tie? Mr. Riccardi?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

THE COURT: He's the one who hit you?

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: How many times did he hit you?

MR. LA ROSSA: I'm sorry to interrupt the s questioning. I think the witness said this e week after he spoke to the F3I.

THE COURT: That's right.

MR. LA ROSSA: I now move to exclude the ony based upon the fact it's outside the ters of the indictment.

THE COURT: Motion denied.

MR. NAFTALIS: Thank you, your Honor.

Mr. DiPalma, what did you do next?

Well, I was laying up against the car and my on with a stick.

Then what happened?

My two children came down with my wife and they ran over to me and my wife was going-wanted to hit him with the stick, but he went away. 1

11	52	
1		DiPalma-direct
2	Q	What did you do next?
3	A	I called upmy wife called up Art Ruffels.
4	Q	By Art Ruffels
5	A	Meaning the FBI.
6	Q	Mr. DiPalma, I take it he's the agent you
7	dealt with?	
8	A	Yes, sir, he is the agent I dealt with.
9	Q	Mr. DiPalma, did there come a time that you
10	spoke to Mr.	Ruffels about this altercation?
11	A	Yes, sir.
12	Q	Did you give him a statement?
13	A	Yes, sir, I did.
14	Q	Mr. DiPalma, you testified earlier to another
15	meeting, a	meeting in which you wore a body microphone;
16	is that cor	rect?
17	A	Yes, sir.
18	Ω	Mr. DiPalma, would you please describe to the
19	Court and t	he members of the jury exactly how that happened.
20	A	Well, Art Ruffels came to my house the next
21	day and we	went up to New York and we took pictures of the
22	beating tha	t I had and from there we spoke a little bit
23	about what	happened to me, and then from there we went
24	down to the	Federal Building.

DiPalma-direct

was Murphy. That's who I spoke to.

o Mr. DiPalma, I'm referring to the time when you wore the body mike. Would you please tell us what happened.

A That's what I'm getting to.

We went down there and then there was a day or two later that I went with the FBI. I met them, I think, on a Wednesday or Thursday. I think it was a Thursday or a Friday, to be exact, a Friday. Yes, it was Friday. And I went to the FBI's office up in New York City and an agent put on the radio (indicating), the tape.

- Q Where were you wearing it?
- A Right here on my stomach.
- Q Was it under clothes?

A The piece that you talk through I guess was here (indicating), and the radio was in my back pocket.

It was a piece in my back pocket connected with tape along the back around to the stomach, like that (indicating).

Q What happened next?

A I met with the gentleman sitting there with the brown suit, right there (indicating). I met with him. As soon as I got--the FBI drove me down to Avenue X where the candy store was. That's where I met that man right there (indicating). I went over to that man (indicating)

. 11

DiPalma-direct

and asked for Ciro Riccardo, and he said tome that Ciro wasn't going to be there.

Q Mr. DiPalma, how did you know to speak to that man?

A I met that man once before. That was at the cemetery in the car with Ciro.

Q Is that the time you testified earlier when you were pulled over?

A Yes.

MR. NEWMAN: I object to a recap of the testimony.

THE COURT: Yes, sustained.

Q What happened next, Mr. DiPalma?

A I insist on seeing him, and before I seen him
I did mention--

MR. NEWMAN: Your Honor, I object to this conversation on the ground it's outside the parameters of the indictment and at a time convenient for your Honor and for the jury, I would like to make an extended argument in the absence of the jury, Judge.

THE COURT: We'll take a five-minute afternoon recess.

Don't discuss the case.

DiPalma-direct

(Jury leaves the courtroom.)

(The following was heard out of the presence of the jury.)

MR. NEWMAN: Your Honor --

THE COURT: Wait a minute. This conversation that you are about to recite with the man in the brown suit, as you describe him, is that the one that was fully recorded here on the tape?

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: What's your argument?

MR. NEWMAN: Might we have the witness

excluded?

THE COURT: You may be excused.

(The witness leaves the courtroom.)

MR. NEWMAN: Maybe you're going to tell me I'm premature.

Your Honor, my argument is based on the following: If we take the testimony, so far adduced from this witness, concerning my client, during the paramaters of the indictment, we have, if I understood him correctly, Judge, the conversation that allegedly took place in the car outside the cemetery where he purports to say my client said two lines. This is the basis of my client's

DiPalma-direct

involvement at this particular moment in the indictment. As I read the indictment between May 1st and May 18th, and based further on Mr. Naftalis's opening.

Now, this conversation of June 2nd, Judge, if I understand it correctly, is not being offered where there's already a prima facie case against the defendant to show almost like knowledge and intent and prior or subsequent similar acts. As I understand it, it's really being offered for the substance, to show my client's involvement in this particular indictment.

Then I say to your Honor, most respectfully, that the time element becomes significant. My client is being tried for a crime that allegedly took place by the Government's own parameters, the grand jury and the indictment and their opening, between May 2nd, May 1st and May 18th. They're now offering the conversation—

THE COURT: No, no. Between May 1, 1971, and May 18, 1972, a period of twelve and a half months, both dates being approximate and inclusive. Don't get it confused. We're not talking about a two-week period where you might have, the way I see the testimony, that is involved here, and with that

DiPalma-direct

language, "both dates being approximate and inclusive," two weeks away from one of the end dates, but
anyway, go ahead.

MR. NEWMAN: That's right. Insofar as my client is concerned, none of the activities except the alleged meeting at the cemetery took place in that period of time.

THE COURT: That may well be part of—

I thought originally that the tape was being offered solely for admissions, but based on the testimony adduced, I'm not so sure that the tape isn't going to be offered. I don't know. At least I heard so far it may be offered as part of the offense.

MR. NEWMAN: That's the point I'm trying to make, just the point I'm trying to make, with an indictment that speaks within a period of time.

THE COURT: "both dates being approximate and inclusive."

MR. NEWMAN: Look at the nature of the second charge. It is implied or expressed threats to collect money. At this point, this witness, DiPalma is already working with the FBI backup by the FBI and is wearing a recorder.

THE COURT: So?

DiPalma-direct

MR. NEWMAN: What I'm trying to say--

THE COURT: If they tried to extort, collect the money on June 2nd by extortionate means— if he used extortionate means on that date, it may well be within the offense.

MR. NEWMAN: That's my point. You say it may well be. I'm contending, by virtue of the fact--

THE COURT: Apparently the offense didn't stop the day they went up and banged on the door.

A week later they beat him up, or one of them beat him up.

MR. NEWMAN: That's the point I'm making.

THE COURT: A week after, apparently, there's some conversation on a tape which we haven't heard yet.

MR. NEWMAN: The allegations vis-a-vis all the acts do not apply to my client nor the evidence. That's the point I'm trying to make.

THE COURT: I don't know what the tape says.

Maybe in the tape your client makes the statements

that runs something like this: "I've told you for a

year now I was going to beat the Jesus out of you

if you didn't pay up, and I reiterate it now." Then

where are you?

MR. NEWMAN: Then I'd have a problem, but

44 25

what I'm contending, Judge, I don't have that problem.

THE COURT: I don't know.

MR. NEWMAN: Then I would ask your Honor to
do something else, if you will. I would ask your
Honor to look at the grand jury testimony that's
been adduced here for this reason, Judge: Maybe
this is premature, but if you would look at the grand
jury testimony, which I assume is the grand jury
testimony we've been furnished as 3500 material, of
Mr. DiPalma, I would respectfully ask your Honor
to dismiss as far as Mr. Gigliotti is concerned on
the grounds, Judge, that no evidence was adduced in
that grand jury against Mr. Gigliotti; none.

I submit to your Honor Mr. Gigliotti has a Sixth Amendment right to be indicted by a grand jury which has evidence against him.

THE COURT: This kind of motion you make at the end of the plaintiff's case, not during the course of the trial.

MR. NEWMAN: I think I can make it at this time with the witness--based on the stand, on the 3500 material.

THE COURT: You can't. You have to wait until all the testimony comes in.

MR. NEWMAN: As far as the grand jury testimony is concerned, we can have a statement right now from

Mr. Naftalis as to whether we have it all.

THE COURT: Mr. Naftalis, if he thought the way you're thinking, he would have withdrawn the indictment against your client at the outset of the case.

MR. NEWMAN: Not necessarily. A different Assistant put it in to the grand jury.

MR. NAFTALIS: I participated in the ending part of the grand jury.

THE COURT: Mr. Naftalis is an experienced attorney. I think he knows what he's doing.

MR. NEWMAN: I'm sure he does.

on the second or third witness in the case, because your client hasn't been up to his eyeballs in this thing today, that you're necessarily home free.

MR. NEWMAN: I didn't assume I'm home free.

But I'm trying to indicate to your Honor there may
be a serious question as to whether this evidence
is admissible. While I'm on my feet I want to
suggest, based on the grand jury testimony I have,
if in fact he hasn't been indicted, I don't think
it's ever too early to raise that issue. I'm duty
bound to raise it on his behalf, the minute I become
aware of it. I have the grand jury testimony.

, |

.

I don't see him mentioned in it.

THE COURT: Is that the fact?

MR. NAFTALIS: He's identified as an unknown male during the course of that. There's a grand jury photograph of Mr. Gigliotti identified by Mr. DiPalma in the course of it as being the other man present at the meeting. That was before the grand jury. The license plate as well, license plate identification before the grand jury.

THE COURT: I haven't read the substance of what was said in this tape. I'm mindful of Mr.

MR. LA ROSSA: Might I be excused for a minute:
THE COURT: Yes. Do you want us to continue
without your presence?

MR. LA ROSSA: Yes.

THE COURT: I understand what you're saying, but I think you're a little early.

MR. NEWMAN: O.K., I wanted to get it on the record, vis-a-vis the grand jury testimony, particularly Judge. I want to find out from Mr. Naftalis if there's any other grand jury testimony.

MR. NAFTALIS: From other witnesses who haven't testified.

MR. NEWMAN: Obviously. I'm asking about Mr. DiPalma. I would like him to be submitted to

your Honor for consideration of that motion whenever you feel it's propitious.

MR. NAFTALIS: All grand jury testimony is submitted to the defense as 3500 material.

THE COURT: Give it to me.

MR. NAFTALIS: Do you want it now?

THE COURT: How long will you be further with this witness?

MR. NAFTALIS: My examination of him, perhaps another five minutes or so, your Honor.

THE COURT: I'll take a look at it then.

(Said documents handed to the Court by Mr. Naftalis.)

(Pause)

MR. NAFTALIS: The agent has arrived to fix the tape recorder.

MR. LA ROSSA: We're not going to interrupt the direct testimony of this witness.

THE COURT: He'll be five minutes more with this witness.

MR. LA ROSSA: I'm sorry. He'll only be five minutes more if he can fix it in five minutes.

I'm sorry, I didn't understand.

(Pause)

THE COURT: We'll take a recess.

(Recess)

THE COURT: Let's get everybody back. We'll finish up with this witness and then we'll play the tape.

(The jury enters the courtroom.)

THE COURT: You'll be pleased to know, ladies and gentlemen, in the interim we had one of the Government technicians work on the problem, and at least my headset seems to be working. They tried some of yours and it seems to be working. We'll have the tape shortly. Not now, not yet, but shortly

MR. NAFTALIS: Your Honor, I will recall
Mr. DiPalma, and proceed with the remaining part of
the direct examination.

(Frank DiPalma re-enters the courtroom and resumes the stand.)

DIRECT EXAMINATION (CONTINUED)

BY MR. NAFTALIS:

MR. NAFTALIS: Mr. Reporter, I beg your indulgence. Would you please read the last question and shd answer.

(Last question and answer read by the reporter.)

THE COURT: Pursue what happened on June 2nd.

MR. NEWMAN: I trust what was raised in the absence of the jury is overruled.

THE COURT: Yes.

DiPalma-direct

MR. NAFTALIS: Thank you, your Honor.

Mr. DiPalma, referring back to the day that we began your examination, about the day that you were wearing the body microphone for the FBI, Mr. DiPalma, you testified that you arrived there at the FBI, or they brought you there. Who, if anyone, did you see there when you arrived?

- A I seen Gigliotti.
- Q Which one is that, please?
- A (Indicating) The brown suit at the end of the table.
- Q What if anything did you say to him or did he say to you at the time?
 - A I said to him, "Where is Ciro?"
 - Q What if anything did he say to you?
- A He said he wasn't here, and he said, "You could see me."
 - Q What did you say?
- A I said I wanted to see him. I insisted on him.
 I didn't want to see him, I wanted to see Ciro.
 - Q What happened then?
- A When I spoke to Gigliotti and mentioned

 I didn't want any repercussions to happen to me again,
 he said there wouldn't be, and after that he said, "Wait
 here."

DiPalma-direct

I says, "I don't want to wait here."

He says, "I'll drive you there."

I said I didn't w nt to be driven anywhere.

Then he told me I'll meet him by the

furniture store.

Q Did there come a time that you went to the store?

A Yes, I walked and he left, and when I went to the furniture store, I was outside--as a matter of fact, I was right in front of Neil's Delicatessen. That's where I met Ciro.

Q Did there come a time Ciro appeared?

A Ciro appeared with the car and he got out of the car.

Q Did you see the car?

A No, I didn't; I didn't take notice of the car.
He did get out of the car, though.

Q What if anything did you say to him or did he say to you?

Mell, the first thing he did was he checked my chest and down here (indicating), around here. He didn't find anything. The second thing we did was we walked up the street and we started to talk.

95

Q What did you talk about?

6

5

8

10

11

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DiPalma-direct

A About the money situation that I owed him, about--

MR. LA ROSSA: I object to what they talked about. I think the conversation should be the best recollection--

THE COURT: Yes, give us your best recollection.

A (Continuing) We spoke about me not paying the money on time. We spoke about I owed out a lot of money in the place and that I was not a man.

We spoke about me not being a good father because I didn't pay. I had to pay him, and a few other things that I don't recall right now.

Q Mr. DiPalma, you say that you spoke with him about the money you hadn't paid on time. What money are you referring to?

A Talking about the \$125 a week.

Q Mr. DiPalma, you testified earlier what you termed yourself as being a bookmaker. You remember that part of your testimony?

A Yes.

Q Mr. DiPalma, did you ever take a bet from Ciro Riccardi?

A No, sir.

7 8

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Kullers drice

DiPalma-direct

- Did there ever come a time you took a bet from Ronald Gigliotti?
 - A No, sir.
- Q Mr. DiPalma, did you ever lend any money to Ciro Riccardi?
 - A No, sir.
- Q Did you ever lend any money to Ronald Gigliotti?
 - A No, sir.
- Q Mr. DiPalma, has there ever been a time that you've engaged in activity known as loan sharking?
 - A No, sir.
- Q Has there ever been a time you have lent money out at interest to others?
 - A No, sir.
- Q Mr. DiPalma, you testified earlier about the vig that you paid. You testified that you initially paid \$50 a week; is that correct?
 - A Yes, sir.
 - Q That after a period of time--

MR. LA ROSSA: I object to this.

THE COURT: Yes, this is repetition.

MR. NAFTALIS: I'm sorry. I'll get to my

point.

	68
,	DiPalma-direct
2	Q Mr. DiPalma, I ask you to think for a few
3	moments and tell the Court and the jury approximately how
4	much you paid to Mr. Ciro Riccardi in vig payments over the
5	period that you testified about.
6	A \$7,000.
7	Q In cash?
8	A Yes, sir.
9	Q Who did you pay that to?
10	A Ciro Riccardi.
11	Q What was the total amount of money you
12	borrowed from him?
13	A \$3500.
14	MR. NAFTALIS: Your Honor, if I might just
15	have a moment?
16	(Pause)
17	MR. NAFTALIS: No further questions, your
18	Honor.
19	THE COURT: Gentlemen, we'll play the tape
20	now if it's agreeable with you.
21	You may step down.
22	(Witness excused)
23	THE COURT: You'll be subject to recall
	tomorrow.

(Mr. DiPalma leaves the courtroom.)

THE COURT: Let's pass out the transcripts.
Hopefully, it will work this time.

Ladies and gentlemen, the same cautionary instructions I gave you before with respect to the transcripts applies. Don't get ahead. Stay with the transcript and the tape as it's played and listen to the tape, because the tape is the evidence, not the transcript.

MR. NAFTALIS: Your Honor, we're going to request the agent retake the stand, since he is the witness.

(Mr. Ruffels resumed the stand.)

MR. NAFTALIS: Your Honor, again I'm going to beg the Court's indulgence. We use the signal by Mr. Ruffels to indicate when we believe the conversation begins, so that the jury can see if they can't all hear it.

(Tape begins to play in courtroom.)
(Tape completed playing.)

THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, we'll adjourn for the evening. I have a sentencing panel at 4:30. I have to stop now.

Don't discuss the case. Come back tomorrow morning again at a quarter to ten. Be prompt, and we'll start promptly at ten o'clock tomorrow morning.

MR. NAFTALIS: Could I collect the transcripts?

THE COURT: Don't leave without first handing

over the transcripts to Mr. Naftalis.

(Mr. Naftalis collects the transcripts from the jury.)

THE COURT: Don't discuss the case. We'll see you tomorrow morning.

(The jury leaves the courtroom.)

(At 4:30 p.m. an adjournment was taken to Wednesday, May 21, 1975, at 10:00 a.m.)

JOSEPH BARBELLA OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER

Appearances:

DAVID G. TRAGER, ESQ. United States Attorney for the Eastern District of New York

BY: A. NAFTALIS, ESQ. Assistant U.S. Attorney

JAMES LA ROSSA, ESQ. Attorney for Defendant Riccardi

GUSTAVE NEWMAN, ESQ. Attorney for Defendant Gigliotti

MR. NAFTALIS: Your Honor, before the jury comes in, I would like to handle a small problem that was raised yesterday.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. NAFTALIS: Mr. Newman, representing Mr. Gigliotti, raised the question of the evidence before the Grand Jury, and whether there was sufficient, or any at all evidence before the United States Grand Jury for the Eastern District of New York, who investigated this case concerning the defendant Gigliotti.

I'd like to handle this in two ways, and I promise to be brief. I draw the Court's and defense attention to the Grand Jury minutes dated June 13, 1972, a transcript in which Frank DiPalma was the Government witness in a presentation for the Government made by William T. Murphy of -- a Special Attorney of the Department of Justice.

And, your Honor, if I may, I ask you to direct your attention specifically to page 17 of that transcript, and, starting at approximately line 6 --

MR. NEWMAN: Would you bear with me for a moment?

MR. NAFTALIS: Surely. Page 17, line 6, where

we start -- The previous answer is:

"I went to the luncheonette on Avenue X," he re-

The question is then:

"After you went to the luncheonette at Avenue X, were you met by anybody?

"Answer: Yes, I met another guy there.

"Question: Have you talked about that guy at all today? Is he one of the unknown persons you refer to in your answers, either the guy who used to get the money at the luncheonette, or either of the two men inside the cycle shop?"

The men had been referred to earlier in the testi-

"Answer: No, he's not.

"Question: This is a new person?

"Answer: A new person.

"Question: Tell us, what did you say to him, and what did he say to you?

"Answer: He said, 'Do you have the money?'"

And then there is a statement that continues up to the top of the next page, and talks about going down to meet Zero. There's more testimony here about meeting in front of Neil's with Zero, and this other gentleman, your Honor, continuing on page 18 through 19.

I submit, your Honor, that this bears with Mr. DiPalma's testimony, and with the tape recording that

we heard in which the person has been identified as the defendant Gigliotti; and that this is Mr. Gigliotti that the witness DiPalma is referring.

22.5

Moreover, your Honor, after I left the courtroom yesterday, I spent a little time in the law library, and determined that it is essential for the Government in this case to look at the law. And the law, your Honor, in the specific case is Costello -- I am sorry -- Costello versus the United States, 350 United Stated 359, 1956. And I am now looking at page 363. If I may just quote in part, your Honor:

on the grounds that there was inadequate or incompetent evidence before the Grand Jury, the resulting delay would be great indeed. The result of such a rule would be that before a trial on the merits, a defendant could always insist on the kind of preliminary trial to determine the competency and adequacy of the evidence before the Grand Jury. This is not required by the Fifth Amendment. An Indictment returned by legally constituted and unbiased Grand Jury, like an information drawn by the Prosecutor, if valid on its face, is enough to call for trial of the charges on the merits. The Fifth Amendment requires nothing more."

That was Costello.

And, accordingly, there is Long versus the United States, 355 U. S. at 339. That's a '58 case.

And in the Second Circuit, your Honor, I have cited Un ted States against Tane. That's at 329 F. 2d, at 848, a 1964 case.

And here I am quoting in part from page 853.

"A defendant has no right to have an Indictment dismissed merely because incompetent or inadequate evidence was presented to the Grand Jury."

There is also United States versus Kuska, 443
F. 2d., 1167. That's Second Circuit case, 1971.

Your Honor, I submit that there was evidence before the Grand Jury, as I have pointed out in this transcript, and that this evidence, the Government alleges,
refers directly to the defendant Giggliotti.

Moreover, the Government contends that the law as stated by the Supreme Court and as stated by the Second Circuit indicates that there is sufficient evidence presently -- not presently -- sufficient evidence before the Grand Jury that we can go forward with this Indictment, and the defendants, in fact, have no basis to go forward unless they can indicate that there was not a legally constituted -- or unless they can show by evidence that this was a biased Grand Jury.

I submit to you that the Government contends this

77 was neither of the case.

Moreover, your Honor, the Government would -- the Government would submit that this is an Indictment -- a valid Indictment, valid on its face, to the use the terminology of the Supreme Court in Costello. And on that basis the Court can consider and then deny the defense motion to dismiss the Indictment against the defendant Giggliotti in that it is not in keeping with the present status of the law and with respect to the question opened by Mr. Newman before the Court yesterday.

THE COURT: I ruled the motion is premature.

MR. NEWMAN: That's why I am not addressing myself to it, your Honor, until the end of the case. I
would like to -- I would like the record to reflect that
the Costello case deals with the hearsay question of an
Agent taking the stand and testifying to what he was
told by a witness. And I will --

THE COURT: The question here is whether the evidence is sufficient to hold Mr. Giggliotti at the end of the Government's case, on the grounds that he aided and abetted in this transaction.

Now, if, on all of the Government's evidence, it is sufficient to establish a prima facie case that he aided and abetted in this transaction, the case is going to go to the jury. If the case against him -- if there

isn't a case against Mr. Giggliotti, it will be dismissed.

3

1

2

MR. NEWMAN: May I reserve all of this until the end of the Government's case?

4 5

THE COURT: Sure.

6

MR. NEWMAN: I will furnish authorities.

7

THE COURT: It is not that complex. At least it isn't complex in my mind, but it might be in your mind.

9

8

MR. NEWMAN: In any event, I will reserve my argu-

10

ment for later. It is not a matter of acquiescence. I

11

don't think that that is the status of the law in the

12

Second Circuit. I think there are cases after Costello

sentially it's the same evidence that went before the

the same question is going to be presented.

Grand Jury that is before this Court in this trial, and

THE COURT: I'm not talking about Costello. Es-

I have looked at the Grand Jury testimony. There

13

on the question.

2021

22

23

24

25

is also the testimony about, "I'm going to put you in the hospital," in the presence of Mr. Gigliotti in a car. And then there is this testimony that the Prosecution referred to on page 17. That's essentially the testimony.

Now, whether that constitutes an aiding and abetting, is going to be -- Whether it is sufficient to carry

	79 out a prima facie case will be for me to determine. I	1
1	haven't made up my mind. I am waiting for all of the	-
2		
3	evidence to be in.	
4	MR. NEWMAN: All right. I disagree with your	
5	Honor's reasoning, and I will try to see if I have some	2
6	eloquence to try to persuade you.	
7	THE COURT: You have plenty of eloquence of per-	-
8	suasion, but we will see.	
9	All right.	
10	(Whereupon, the jury entered the courtroom.)	
11	THE COURT: Good morning, members of the jury,	
12	I am sorry we kept you waiting, but we had a couple of	
13	legal matters to attend to, and that's what held us up	
14	I think we are ready to proceed now.	
15	All right, I guess we have Mr. Ruffels back on	
16	the stand.	
17	MR. NAFTALIS: Yes, we do.	
18	THE COURT: Do you have more questions of him?	
19	MR. NAFTALIS: Just a few.	
20	THE COURT: All right.	
21	ARTHUR RUFFELS, having previously been duly	
22	Sworn, testified further as follows:	
23	DIRECT EXAMINATION	
24	BY MR. NAFTALIS: (continuing)	

MR. NAFTALIS: I would like these marked for

identification, and this marked for identification as well.

THE COURT: Two photographs, marked for identification as Government Exhibits 5-A and 5-B.

One document marked for identification as Government Exhibit 6.

Special Agent Ruffels, yesterday we heard the tape recording, Government Exhibit in evidence number 3. Did you hear that tape recording as it was being played?

A Yes, I did.

Q Agent Ruffels, you testified earlier that you were present at this meeting on June 2nd?

A That's correct.

Q And you testified that you -- I believe that you saw certain persons present, did you not?

A Yes, I did.

Q Would you indicate again for the jury who those persons present at the meeting were?

A Yes. The victim, Frank DiFalma --

MR. LA ROSSA: Objection.

MR. NEWMAN: Objection. I move to strike.

THE COURT: Strike the word, "victim."

THE WITNESS: Frank DiPalma, Ciro Riccardi and Ronald Gigliotti.

Q I show you a series of photographs that are marked in as Government's Exhibit in evidence --

THE COURT: 2-A.

MR. NAFTALIS: As those 2-A?

Thank you.

Q Marked as 2-A -- Will you show --

A Do you want me to identify all of the photos?

Q First, what are they?

A These are the photographs taken by myself on June 2, 1972, from a surveillance vehicle situated within a few feet of the meeting between Frank DiPalma, Ciro Riccardi and Ronald Gigliotti.

Q Are those pictures -- those pictures, I take it, depict the meeting you just testified about concerning the tape?

A That's correct.

MR. NAFTALIS: Your Honor, these have already been admitted into evidence. I would like to show them to the jury, if I may.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. NEWMAN: May I look at them for one minutes, Judge?

THE COURT: Sure.

MR. NEWMAN: Thank you, your Honor.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

R2 fls 25

MR. NAFTALIS: Mr. La Rossa, do you want to --

O Special Agent Ruffels, I would like to draw your attention to three of these photographs out of this series. I would like you to study each of them.

MR. LA ROSSA: Are they marked?

MR. NEWMAN: Can we have them identified for the record?

MR. LA ROSSA: We are not going to know which ones they are.

THE COURT: Maybe you better give them a separate letter.

MR. NAFTALIS: Okay. Mr. Clerk, will you please give these photos numbers under that series?

THE COURT: 2-B, C, and D.

THE CLERK: Number 2.

One photograph under series 2-A. This will be 2-B, 2-C and 2-D.

MR. NAFTALIS: Thank you.

Q All right. Agent Ruffels, I show you this photograph out of this Series 2-A, and this one is specifically marked photograph 2-B, and I ask you to study it for a moment, and if you can identify the persons in that photograph?

A Yes, I can.

(continued on next page.)

1	Ruffels-direct / 83
2	Q Would you please do so?
3	A Yes.
4	Q You may hold it so the jury may see.
5	A On the left is Frank DiPalma, and on the right
6	is Ciro Riccardi.
7	Q And this photograph marked as Government's
8	Exhibit 2C in evidence.
9	A On the left is Ronald Gigliotti, and on the
10	right is Frank DiPalma.
11	Q And this third photograph marked as
12	Government's Exhibit 2D?
13	A Yes. New York license plate 0K2271, which was
14	listed to Ronald
15	MR. NEWMAN: I object to what it is listed to.
16	THE COURT: Sustained.
17	Q Special Agent Ruffels, did there come a time
18	that you were able to find out where plate OK2271, New York,
19	was registered?
20	A Yes, sir.
21	MR. NEWMAN: I object. I am sorry.
22	THE COURT: You can answer yes or no.
23	MR. NEWMAN: I am sorry. I anticipated, Judge
24	I apologize.

THE COURT: He can't go beyond that.

1		Ruffels-direct 84
2	Q	Did there come a time when you were able to
3	determine who	this was registered to?
4		THE COURT: Yes or no?
5		THE WITNESS: Yes.
6	Q	And as a consequence of your check, did you
7	were you able	to determine who that person was?
8	A	Yes.
9		THE COURT: Yes or no.
10	Q	And who was that person?
11		MR. NEWMAN: Objection.
12		THE COURT: Sustained.
13		MR. NEWMAN: May we have a side bar for just a
14	minute	
15		THE COURT: It is not necessary.
16		MR. NEWMAN: Okay. I thought maybe I can save
17	some di	ifficulty, but all right.
18		THE COURT: If you want to make some sort of a
19		MR. NEWMAN: No. I will withdraw my generosity
20	It was	a fleeting
21		MR. NAFTALIS: Your Honor, these were marked
22	for ide	entification. They should be in evidence.
23		THE COURT: Yes, they are in evidence.
24		THE CLERK: Government Exhibit 2B, 2C, 2D

received in evidence.

25

(So marked.)

O Special Agent Ruffels, yesterday you testified on or about the 30th of May, 1972, you spoke on the telephone with Mrs. Joan DiPalma; is that correct? On or about the 30th of May or about --

A Yes.

Q And as a consequence of that conversation with Mrs. DiPalma, what, if anything, did you do?

A I conducted an interview on the 30th with Mr. DiPalma and Mrs. DiPalma, and I further photographed Mr. DiPalma.

Q Special Agent Ruffels, I show you these two photographs marked Government's Exhibit 5A and 5B for identification. I ask you if you can identify those photographs.

A Yes, I can.

Q Would you identify those photographs:

THE COURT: Are they the ones you took that
you just referred to?

THE WITNESS: Yes. These are.

THE COURT: Wait a minute. Don't go any further.
You'd better show them to your adversary.

MR. NAFTALIS: I am sorry.

THE COURT: You'd better show them to your

adversary before something comes out that they may not want.

Q These, Agent Ruffels, I take it, are the photographs that you took?

A That's correct.

MR. NAFTALIS: Agent Ruffels, I have no further questions.

MR. LA ROSSA: May I proceed?

THE COURT: Would you like to offer those photographs?

MR. NAFTALIS: Excuse me.

THE COURT: Would you like to offer those photographs?

MR. NAFTALIS: Oh, I am sorry, your Honor.

Your Honor, I move that these photographs be admitted into evidence.

MR. LA ROSSA: No objection.

MR. NEWMAN: Judge, I object on the grounds that they are no - there is no connection between what is depicted on those photographs, the dates that they were allegedly taken, and my particular client. There is no connection between my client and these photographs. And I say that they are not relevant as to him.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Well, that --

MR. LA ROSSA: I object also on the ground that it's outside the scope, but I am not doing that every time.

THE COURT: You'd better do it.

MR. LA ROSSA: Well, I will do it again.

THE COURT: I will rule on your objection first.

Your objection is overruled.

Your objection will be ruled on by my ultimate determination of the question that we discussed already. So I will now allow the photographs in, if that is the only objection.

MR. NAFTALIS: Thank you.

THE CLERK 5A, 5B received in evidence.

THE COURT: May I see them, please?

MR. NAFTALIS: Surely, your Honor.

MR. NEWMAN: What were those marked?

MR. NAFTALIS: 5A and 5B.

THE CLERK: 5A and 5B.

MR. LA ROSSA: Are you finished? I am sorry.

MR. NAFTALIS: Yes.

MR. LA ROSSA: Could I have the pictures?

MR. NAFTALIS: Which pictures?

MR. LA ROSSA: All of them.

3

4

5

7

8

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

Thank you.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. LA ROSSA:

- Q Mr. Naftalis has been addressing you as Special Agent. Is that your title, sir?
 - A That is correct, sir.
- Q Are there any agents of the Federal Bureau of Investigation that do not have the title, Special Agent?
 - A No.
- Q Do you recall meeting a detective by the name of Oleska?
 - A Yes.
 - Q Do you remember showing him a picture?
 - A Yes.
- Q Did you hear him testify here in court that you showed him a picture?
 - A Yes.
- Q Did you hear him testify that he couldn't identify the individual in that picture?

MR. NAFTALIS: Your Honor, I object.

THE COURT: No. Overruled.

THE WITNESS: Yes.

O Was this the picture, sir -- and I show you Government's Exhibit 2B in evidence -- that you showed him?

A 3	(e	8	•
-----	----	---	---

- Q Will you tell us who is in that picture and tell the jury, please?
- A On the right, Frank DiPalma, and on the left, sir, Ciro Riccardi.
- Q Now, at the time that you showed the detective that picture, he certainly knew who Frank DiPalma was, didn't he?
 - A Yes.
- Q Did he tell you that Frank DiPalma was one of the individuals in that picture?
 - MR. NAFTALIS: Objection, your Honor. Hearsay.
 THE COURT: No. Overruled. Overruled.
 - o sir?
 - A Yes.
- Q So, in effect, he couldn't identify Ciro Riccardi; isn't that right?
 - A That is correct.
- Q Now, sir, how many years have you been a Special Agent for the Federal Bureau of Investigation?
 - A Four years, eight months.
- Q Now, sir, prior to your appointment as a Special Agent, did you go to a school in Quantico, Virginia?

A Yes, sir.

24

25

Q And in that school were you taught certain subjects?

A Yes.

Q WEre one of these an interview and how it should be conducted?

A Yes.

Q And were you taught at Quantico, Virginia, that an interview that you take should be as exact as possible?

A Yes.

Q And should be put into the form of a writing as quickly as you could?

A Yes.

Q And do you recall that those writings are to be on a 302 report?

A Yes.

Now, did you take many -- withdraw the question.
Did you conduct many interviews of Mr. DiPalma?

A No.

Q How many times were you in Mr. DiPalma's presence when you asked him questions and he gave you answers?

A I believe three times.

Q On those three occasions, sir, did you make out what is called a 302 report?

A I believe I did.

did?

Q Is there any question in your mind that you

- A I will have to refresh my memory.
- O Please do.
- A Yes, I did.
- O Three times?
- A That's correct, sir.
- Now, sir, will you tell me whether or not you have a duty to report to your superiors -- to make a report to your superiors any time you find evidence that someone has committed a crime?
 - A Are you referring to a federal crime?
 - Q Let's start with a federal crime first.
 - A Yes.
- Q You must report that to your superior; is that correct?
 - A I must make a report, yes.
- Q Okay. Now, in addition to that, let's assume you come upon evidence of a crime that is not federal, but state in nature. Do you feel as a peace officer, as a Special Agent of the Federal Bureau of Investigation, you have the duty to make a report with respect to that crime to someone?
 - A Yes.

25

	Q	No	w, y	ou have	e had an	oppo	rtunity	to	revie	all
your	reports	in	this	case,	haven't	you,	before	you	took	the
witne	ess stan	47								

- A As well as I can recall, yes.
- Q You certainly wrote them over the last few days, haven't you? Did you read them before you took the stand?
 - A Yes.
- Q Do you see anything in those three reports about Mr. DiPalma being a bookmaker?
 - A In my report?
 - Q Yes, your reports.
 - A No.
- Q When for the first time did you find out he was a bookmaker?
 - A At the Eastern District Strike Force --
 - Q When?
 - A (Continuing) Office.

THE COURT: He asked when.

- Q When, sir?
- A At the time of the indictment.
- Q Did you make out a report? You, personally?
- A No, I didn't.
- Q That you found out that the man was a bookmaker?

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

25

Q Are you finished?

- A No, I did not. Well, let me clarify my answer.
- o Please do.
- As he explained it, he was not a bookmaker.
- Q As he explained it to you?
- A That's correct.
- Q Did he tell you --
- A There was no -- excuse me.
- Q I am sorry.
- A There was no evidence from what he told me to believe that a crime had been committed against the United States in the form of bookmaking.
- Q Let me ask you this: Did he tell you -- withdraw the question.

Were you here when he testified?

- A Yes.
- Q Did he tell you exactly what he told the Court and jury?
- A As I -- to the best of my recollection, he said that he took bets and went down to the OTB Office on Avenue X and placed the bets, which does not constitute a violation of federal law. He placed bets at the racetrack friends, which does not constitute a violation of federal law.

A And, to the best of my recollection, that is

-- as I understood it, Frank explained that he gave the
impression to people around him or his co-workers that he was
in fact a bookmaker, but that in fact he was not a bookmaker.

So, therefore, in reply to your question -- your original
question, there did not in my opinion seem to be evidence of
a crime being committed against the United States. I, therefore, did not put that in writing.

on id he tell you that he would take bets from third parties, make a determination on whether or not he thought that the bet was a good bet or not, and if he thought the horse couldn't win, he would hold the bet himself, and if he thought the horse might win, he would bet it at the window bid he tell you that?

A I don't remember him telling me that.

Q Well, let me ask you this: If someone takes bets from third parties and decides whether to hedge some of them off, as he said, or hold them himself, is that bookmaking?

A Yes.

Q Now, I ask you again, sir, did you know yesterday that the man was a bookmaker for the first time when you heard him tell us on the stand?

A Yes.

Q So, ir other words, he never told you prior to

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

yesterday when you heard him testify on the stand that he took bets himself; is that right?

That is correct.

Do you know whether he told anyone else in the United States Attorney's Office or the FBI that he actually took bets?

I don't know.

THE COURT: You mean by taking bets in the hedging sense?

MR. LA ROSSA: I am talking about taking beas as the bookmaker.

THE WITNESS: Yes.

THE COURT: Because he and DiPalma agreed that he picked up bets from other people and placed them for other bets.

MR. LA ROSSA: Yes, sir. But I am adding the extra ingredient, at the time he keeps the bets.

THE COURT: I understand.

Do you know whether or not he told anyone else that?

Sir, I think I answered that question. Would you read that back?

No. I didn't ask you what he told you. I said to you, do you know whether he told anyone else?

٠,	,		
7			
2	2		
2	4		
2	ı		
2	ź		

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22 23

25

Did you ask him at that time for copies of his Q tax returns?

No, I didn't.

Have you ever asked him for copies of his tax returns?

No, I haven't.

Have you ever asked him whether he ever filed a tax return?

MR. NAFTALIS: Objection, your Honor.

THE COURT: No, I will allow it.

THE WITNESS: No, I haven't.

MR. LA ROSSA: I have nothing further.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. NEWMAN:

MR. NEWMAN: Will you bear with me for a moment, your Honor?

Mr. Ruffels, on June 2, 1972, you told us about doing some surveillance of Frank DiPalma?

Correct, sir.

During all the time that Mr. DiPalma was in that area of the luncheonette and the area that he walked to from the luncheonette, did you have him under observation?

During the entire time?

Yes.

1		Ruffels-cross/Newman 98
2	A	No.
3	Q	Do you know how withdrawn.
4		Did you see him arrive at the location?
5	Α	Yes.
6	Q	Did you see him leave the location?
7	A	Yes.
8	Q	How long a period of time elapsed between the
9	time he arrive	ed at the location and the time he left the
10	lecation?	
11	A	There were two locations, sir. Which location?
12	Q	All right. The first location in front of the
13	luncheomette,	I think it was.
14	2	Frank DiPalma approached the luncheonette on
15	Avenue X acro	ss the street from the Chinese restaurant at
16	2:56 p.m on J	une 2, 1972, and he left the luncheonette at
17	3:03 p.m.	
18	Q	And did you observe him as he was leaving and
19	where he went	during the period that he traveled?
20	A	Yes.
21		
		(months and next next)

1	99	Ruffelo - cross/Newman
2	Q	Approximately how long did that take?
3	A	That took approximately eleven minutes.
4	Q	Did he do this on foot, or in a vehicle?
5	A	On foot.
6	Q	And did you see him arrive at the second loca-
7	tion?	
8	A	Yes, I did.
9	Q	And did you observe him throughout the period of
10	time that he	was at this second location?
11	` A	Not the entire He was not under physical sur-
12	veillance dur	ing the entire time of the second meeting.
13	Q	Did you see him arrive at the second location?
14	A	Yes, I did.
15	Q	Did you see him leave the second location?
16	A	Yes, I did.
17	Q	Do yom know how much time elapsed between the
18	time he arrive	ed and the time he left the second location?
19	A	I would have to refresh my memory with my surveil-
20	lance log.	
21		MR. HEMMIAN: With the Court's permission, may he
22	refres	h his recollection?
23		THE COURT: Yes.
24		THE WITNESS: I don't have my surveillance log
25	with m	

JB:GA T1R3

Q

25

And you were at a vantage point, or a place where

3	1	101 Ruffels - cross/Newman
	2	you were able to take these photographs; is that right?
	3	A Yes.
	4	Q And that clearly was one of the functions to
	5	corroborate this meeting, and to identify, if possible, the
	6	participants in the meeting?
	7	A Correct.
	8	Q So is it part and parcel of your training that
	9	Mr. LaRossa asked you about, that it was important to you, was
	10	it not, as an investigative tool, to take these pictures; is
	11	that right?
	12	A Correct.
	13	Q Now, there came a time in or about June 2nd that
	14	and stop me if I am wrong I think you said you put the
	15	tape on Mr. DiPalma; is that right?
	16	A Correct. I am sorry, sir. No, I did not put
	17	a tape on Mr. DiPalma.
	18	Q Were you present when the tape was put on him?
	19	A No tape was ever put on him.
	20	Q I am sorry. I am sorry. Is it the word tape
	21	that is giving you the problem? The transmitter. Would that
	22	- If I substituted the word transmitter for tape, would that
	23	be helpful?
	24	A Yes.
	25	Q All right. Taking out the word tape, and putting

4	1	102	Ruffels - cross/Newman
	2	in "transmi	tter," did you put the transmitter on him?
	3	A	Yes.
	4	Q	Prior to that, did you give him instructions as
	5	to how to	use the transmitter?
	6	A	Yes.
	7	Q	By the way, did this transmitter have an off and
	8	on switch?	
	9	A	It had one, yes.
1	10	Q	Did you show him, Mr. DiPalma, how to use the
	11	on and off	switch?
	12	A	Yes.
	13	Q	And that on and off switch was under his control,
	14	obviously,	as he wore this transmitter; is that right?
	15	A	Yes.
	16	Q	Now, after the transmitter was placed on him or
	17	Withdra	m.
	18		You were conducting an investigation at this time
	19	of what you	considered to be loansharking; is that correct?
	20	A	Correct.
	21	Q	And as part of your training in Quantico that Mr.
	22	LaRossa asi	ked you about, you were instructed as to the differ-
	23	ent elemen	ts of Pederal crimes?
	24		Correct.
	25	Q	And you know what is necessary what is necessary

- A Correct.
- Q And did you prepare more than one transcript?
- A Yes.

23

24

25

Q And is it a fact that you prepared at least three?

1	104	Ruffels - cross/Newman
2	A Yes.	
3	Q And	that you did this by continually listening
4	to the tape with I	more sophisticated equipment each and every
5	time?	
6	A Inco	orrect.
7	Q Well	, did you listen to it again and again to
8	see if there was	anything you missed?
9	A Yes	
10	Q And	you listened to it at least three times?
11	A Yes	
12	Q And	was that with a view to seeing if there was
13	any more material	that you could pick up, the more often you
14	listened to it?	
15	A Yes	
16	Q And	was that because portions of it weren't clear
17	to you when you f	irst listened to it?
18	A Yes	
19	MR.	NEWMAN: Your Honor, I am sorry. I just
20	asked him	for some material, Judge.
21	May	I approach the Has this been marked?
22	THE	COURT: Exhibit 1.
23	MR.	NEWMAN: Sir?
24	THE	COURT: Exhibit 1.
25	May	I have a copy of it, too, Mr. Naftalis?

1	105 Ruffels - cross/Newman
2	MR. NEWMAN: I will be the messenger.
3	May I stand next to him?
4	THE COURT: Yes, you may.
5	Q Will you please take a look at Exhibit 1, Mr.
6	Ruffels
7	Pardon my back.
8	And with particular reference to the first page,
9	sir, you see the line May I just point it out to you, sir?
10	A Yes.
11	Q Do you see this:
12	"Talking to you"
13	You notice the reference to, "Talking to you is
14	like talking to nobody. I just I hang out here about
15	four or five blocks away."
16	You notice that?
17	A Yes.
18	Q You had occasion, together with all of us,
19	after all the difficulties were ironed out to listen to the
20	tape?
21	A Yes, sir.
22	Q And as a result of listening to it, did you
23	notice that instead of the word, "You," it should be the word
24	"Me," and it should read, "Talking to me is like talking to
25	nobody. I just I hang out here about four or five blocks

Well, let me put a question. You say now that the

answer the question again --

Q

25

him and go on to something else.

23

24

25

THE COURT: Well, it is an explanation as to how

it happened. You don't have to take it. You can stop

right?

THE WITNESS: In other words --

THE COURT: No. Wait a minute. He wants to know who suggested the meeting. You're telling him how it came out.

THE WITNESS: I'm trying to -- Yes.

THE COURT: All right. Do you want the rest of it?

MR. NEWMAN: No, Judge. I was just limiting the question -- I thought it was limited to who suggested the meeting, and how it was arranged.

THE COURT: That's what --

THE WITNESS: I'm trying to answer it. And I thought I was documenting my answer.

MR. NEWMAN: Well, Judge, I object to the collo-

THE COURT: All right. Go ahead.

Q Now, you say there was a pre-arranged meeting?
Is that what you are telling me?

A Yes.

Q That you found out about it on May 18th; is that

A Yes.

Q And you say it was a Friday meeting; is that right?

A That's Correct.

THE COURT: Sure.

24

25

MR. NEWMAN: Thank you, your Honor.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

R2 fls 25

Special Agent Ruffels, I would like to draw your

MR. NAFTALIS: Mr. La Rossa, do you want to --

attention to three of these photographs out of this series. I would like you to study each of them.

MR. LA ROSSA: Are they marked?

MR. NEWMAN: Can we have them identified for the record?

MR. LA ROSSA: We are not going to know which ones they are.

THE COURT: Maybe you better give them a separate letter.

MR. NAFTALIS: Okay. Mr. Clerk, will you please give these photos numbers under that series?

THE COURT: 2-B, C, and D.

THE CLERK: Number 2.

One photograph under series 2-A. This will be 2-B, 2-C and 2-D.

MR. NAFTALIS: Thank you.

All right. Agent Ruffels, I show you this photograph out of this Series 2-A, and this one is specifically marked photograph 2-B, and I ask you to study it for a moment, and if you can identify the persons in that photograph?

Yes, I can.

(continued on next page.)

	۱
	İ
	i
1	I
	١
	١
2	۱
	l
	I
3	I
	۱
4	I
4	۱
	I
5	ļ
U	۱
	l
6	I
	۱
	l
7	I
	l
_	۱
8	ı
	I
9	I
9	۱
	۱
10	I
	l
	ì
11	١
	I
	I
12	۱
	l
10	I
13	I
	I
14	۱
	١
	١
15	I
	l
	١
16	i
	١
	l
17	I
	۱
18	I
18	l
	١
19	۱
10	I
	۱
20	۱
	١
21	١
21	١
	١
00	١
22	۱
	١
23	١

1	Kullels-ullect , 83
2	Q Would you please do so?
3	A Yes.
4	Q You may hold it so the jury may see.
5	A On the left is Frank DiPalma, and on the right
6	is Ciro Riccardi.
7	Q And this photograph marked as Government's
8	Exhibit 2C in evidence.
9	A On the left is Ronald Gigliotti, and on the
10	right is Frank DiPalma.
11	Q And this third photograph marked as
12	Government's Exhibit 2D?
13	A Yes. New York license plate 0K2271, which was
14	listed to Ronald
15	MR. NEWMAN: I object to what it is listed to.
16	THE COURT: Sustained.
17	Q Special Agent Ruffels, did there come a time
18	that you were able to find out where plate OK2271, New York,
19	was registered?
20	A Yes, sir.
21	MR. NEWMAN: I object. I am sorry.
22	THE COURT: You can answer yes or no.
23	MR. NEWMAN: I am sorry. I anticipated, Judge.
24	I apologize.
25	THE COURT: He can't go beyond that.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q Did there come a time when you were able to determine who this was registered to?

THE COURT: Yes or no?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

Q And as a consequence of your check, did you -were you able to determine who that person was?

A Yes.

THE COURT: Yes or no.

Q And who was that person?

MR. NEWMAN: Objection.

THE COURT: Sustained.

MR. NEWMAN: May we have a side bar for just a minute?

THE COURT: It is not necessary.

MR. NEWMAN: Okay. I thought maybe I can save some difficulty, but -- all right.

THE COURT: If you want to make some sort of a

MR. NEWMAN: No. I will withdraw my generosity

It was a fleeting --

MR. NAFTALIS: Your Honor, these were marked for identification. They should be in evidence.

THE COURT: Yes, they are in evidence.

THE CLERK: Government Exhibit 2B, 2C, 2D received in evidence.

(So marked.)

O Special Agent Ruffels, yesterday you testified on or about the 30th of May, 1972, you spoke on the telephone with Mrs. Joan DiPalma; is that correct? On or about the 30th of May or about --

A Yes.

Q And as a consequence of that conversation with Mrs. DiPalma, what, if anything, did you do?

A I conducted an interview on the 30th with Mr. DiPalma and Mrs. DiPalma, and I further photographed Mr. DiPalma.

Q Special Agent Ruffels, I show you these two photographs marked Government's Exhibit 5A and 5B for identification. I ask you if you can identify those photographs.

A Yes, I can.

Q Would you identify those photographs?

THE COURT: Are they the ones you took that you just referred to?

THE WITNESS: Yes. These are.

THE COURT: Wait a minute. Don't go any further.
You'd better show them to your adversary.

MR. NAFTALIS: I am sorry.

THE COURT: You'd better show them to your

adversary before something comes out that they may not want.

Q These, Agent Ruffels, I take it, are the photographs that you took?

A That's correct.

MR. NAFTALIS: Agent Ruffels, I have no further questions.

MR. LA ROSSA: May I proceed?

THE COURT: Would you like to offer those photographs?

ME NAFTALIS: Excuse me.

THE COURT: Would you like to offer those photographs?

MR. NAFTALIS: Oh, I am sorry, your Honor.

Your Honor, I move that these photographs be admitted into evidence.

MR. LA ROSSA: No objection.

MR. NEWMAN: Judge, I object on the grounds that they are no — there is no connection between what is depicted on those photographs, the dates that they were allegedly taken, and my particular client. There is no connection between my client and these photographs. And I say that they are not relevant as to him.

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Well, that --

MR. LA ROSSA: I object also on the ground that it's outside the scope, but I am not doing that every time.

THE COURT: You'd better do it.

MR. LA ROSSA: Well, I will do it again.

THE COURT: I will rule on your objection first.
Your objection is overruled.

Your objection will be ruled on by my ultimate determination of the question that we discussed already. So I will now allow the photographs in, if that is the only objection.

MR. NAFTALIS: Thank you.

THE CLERK 5A, 5B received in evidence.

THE COURT: May I see them, please?

MR. NAFTALIS: Surely, your Honor.

MR. NEWMAN: What were those marked?

MR. NAFTALIS: 5A and 5B.

THE CLERK: 5A and 5B.

MR. LA ROSSA: Are you finished? I am sorry.

MR. NAFTALIS: Yes.

MR. LA ROSSA: Could I have the pictures?

MR. NAFTALIS: Which pictures?

MR. LA ROSSA: All of them.

8

10 11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

Thank you.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. LA ROSSA:

Q Mr. Naftalis has been addressing you as Special Agent. Is that your title, sir?

A That is correct, sir.

Q Are there any agents of the Federal Bureau of Investigation that do not have the title, Special Agent?

A No.

Q Do you recall meeting a detective by the name of Oleska?

A Yes.

Q Do you remember showing him a picture?

A Yes.

Q Did you hear him testify here in court that you showed him a picture?

A Yes.

Q Did you hear him testify that he couldn't identify the individual in that picture?

MR. NAFTALIS: Your Honor, I object.

THE COURT: No. Overruled.

THE WITNESS: Yes.

O Was this the picture, sir -- and I show you Government's Exhibit 2B in evidence -- that you showed him?

Yes, sir.

24

25

Special Agent, did you go to a school in Quantico, Virginia?

I believe I did.

what is called a 202 report?

23

24

3

did?

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

23

24

25

Q Is there any question in your mind that you

- A I will have to refresh my memory.
- O Please do.
- A Yes, I did.
- O Three times?
- A That's correct, sir.
- Now, sir, will you tell me whether or not you have a duty to report to your superiors -- to make a report to your superiors any time you find evidence that someone has committed a crime?
 - A Are you referring to a federal crime?
 - Q Let's start with a federal crime first.
 - A Yes.
- Q You must report that to your superior; is that correct?
 - A I must make a report, yes.
- Q Okay. Now, in addition to that, let's assume you come upon evidence of a crime that is not federal, but state in nature. Do you feel as a peace officer, as a special Agent of the Federal Bureau of Investigation, you have the duty to make a report with respect to that crime to someone?
 - A Yes.

23

24

25

Now, you have had an opportunity to review all your reports in this case, haven't you, before you took the witness stand?

A As well as I can recall, yes.

Q You certainly wrote them over the last few days, haven't you? Did you read them before you took the stand?

A Yes.

Do you see anything in those three reports
 about Mr. DiPalma being a bookmaker?

A In my report?

Q Yes, your reports.

A No.

Q When for the first time did you find out he was a prokmaker?

- A At the Eastern District Strike Force --
- Q When?
- A (Continuing) Office.

THE COURT: He asked when.

- Q When, sir?
- A At the time of the indictment.
- Q Did you make out a report? You, personally?
- A No, I didn't.
- That you found out that the man was a bookmaker?

A No, I did not. Well, let me clarify my answer.

Q Please do.

A As he explained it, he was not a bookmaker.

Q As he explained it to you?

A That's correct.

Q Did he tell you --

A There was no -- excuse me.

Q I am sorry.

There was no evidence from what he told me to believe that a crime had been committed against the United States in the form of bookmaking.

Q Let me ask you this: Did he tell you --- withdraw the question.

Were you here when he testified?

A Yes.

Q Did he tell you exactly what he told the Court and jury?

A As I -- to the best of my recollection, he said that he took bets and went down to the OTB Office on Avenue X and placed the bets, which does not constitute a violation of federal law. He placed bets at the racetrack for friends, which does not constitute a violation of federal law.

Q Are you finished?

Ruffels-cross-LaRossa

for someone to come, is that right? 2 No. A 3 The eleven minutes contains that? I'm sorry. When I say it took him eleven minutes 5 I'm saying that he was joined by the light blue automobile, bears license plate 2271 at 3:14. 7 Which was eleven minutes after he began to walk? 8 Right. He left the luncheonette at 3:03. At 9 3:14 he was joined by a light blue automobile. 10 I show you what's been marked Exhibit 3 in 11 evidence, the original tape that was played to this jury. 12 How many times have you listened to that or a duplicate copy? 13 I really can't estimate how many. Several. 14 15 Many. Give me your best recollection. Ten? 16 Ten, twelve maybe, I don't know. 17 A Did you ever tamper with that? 18 Q No, sir. 19 Did you ever exase portions of it? 20 Q

- No, sir.
- Did you ever splice it?
- No, sir.
- Did you ever cut parts of it out?
- 25 No, sir.

21

22

23

Ruffels-cross-LaRoss

- Q Did you hear an eleven minute gap in that tape?
 When we listened to it here in this courtroom from the time
 that we left the luncheonette and the few words that were
 said there until the time the conversation began again?
 - A I never timed it, sir.
- Q There -- was there an eleven minute gap or anything that substantial?
- I don't think so. I don't think there was an eleven minute gap on this tape.
- Q Sir, is there any question in your mind that there was a very short gap on that tape, between those two conversations? The one we all heard here today -- yesterday?
- A I would -- I don't think that eleven minutes is represented on this tape, the walk from the luncheonette to the deli was indicated on this tape.
 - Q He shut if off then, didn't he?
 - A I did.
 - Q You did?
- A I controlled the recording. I thought I said that previously, that I had the recorder in my vehicle.
- Q Let me ask you this. At the very beginning of that tape and you heard it with us yesterday -- there are an awful lot of street sounds like a veryor selling something, am I right?

1	Ruffels-cross-Lakossa
2	A Yes.
3	Q Was that what it was?
4	A It sounds of that nature, yes.
5	Q You didn't choose to shut it off at that time?
6	A No, we didn't.
7	Q And there were occasions where it sounded like
8	children were yelling, one child yelling to another child
9	possibly down the street?
10	A Right.
11	Q You didn't choose to shut if off at that time,
12	did you?
13	A No, we didn't.
14	Q As a matter of fact, can you tell us right now
15	each time you shut if off and each time you started it?
16	A Yes, I can.
17	Q Can you? Did you write it down on a piece of
18	paper?
19	A I again have to say I have to refer to the
20	my surveillance log.
21	Q The conversation you had with Mr. DiPalma hefore
22	you put this tape on, whatever we call it, transcriptions,
23	I'm probably using the wrong technical word, the machine
24	that you put on his body?

Body transmitter.

	11
1	II .

Ruffels-cross-LaRossa

whether or not you said to him -- withdraw the quastion. It addition to the conversation that you had with Mr. DiPalma about vig, Mr. Newman said to you, "Did you maggest to him, to Mr. DiPalma, that if you got the people steamed up, maybe there would be talk of violence?" You said you did not do that, right? Do you understand my question?

- A Yes, I understand it, counselor.
- Q All right. Is that what you just told us a few moments ago?

A I said that I did not instruct him to get the people steamed up.

Q Okay. That's all I'm asking. There is no question in your mind about that now as you sit here, right?

You wouldn't do that, would you?

- A Not -- not at that particular time, no.
- Q You mean, you've done it on other times?
- A Well, I'm just trying to --
- Q Please do.

A I can't recall. That I've ever instructed anyone to place themselves in personal danger. No, I don't think I did.

Q Forget the personal danger effect. Did you ever tell anyone, "Try and get him riled up so they'd say

Ruffels-cross-LaRossa

something threatening to you because it could be introduced in Court against them."

A No. I did suggest to Mr. DiPalma that a threat would -- would help.

- Q Those are the words you used?
- A Words to that effect.

Q Threat would help. Did you tell him that as part of this crime, you had to prove a threat?

A I don't think -- I don't think I did. I don't think I told him that. It's not -- we don't normally tall everyone how we're proceeding with an investigation. I don't think it's our common practice to tell everyone what we're doing.

Q Did you tell him that a threat from each person he met on that day would help? If there were more than one?

- A Absolutely not.
- Q Just Mr. Riccardi?
- A Absolutely not.
- Q Just Mr. Riccardi?
- A I -- I would imagine that I suggested that if
 Mr. Riccardi threatened him, it would probably help.
- Q Agent Ruffels, didn't you suggest to him that he should try to get Mr. Riccardi to threaten him because that

1

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Ruffels-redirect

Oleska?

For the purpose of identifying Frank DiPalma and ask -- and attempting to obtain an identification on Ciro Riccardi.

Special Agent Ruffels, I want you to think for a moment before you answer. To the best of your recollection would you please give me the exact answer that Detective Oleska gave you when you asked him to identify the person standing next to Frank DiPalma. Please think for a moment before you give me your answer. I'd like to have as close as possible to the exact words.

I believe Officer Oleska said or replied, told me, that this individual fits the description of one of the three men that were banging -- that was banging on the door on the evening of the -- at the time that Sergeant Oleska was in the DiPalma residence. and --

Q I'm sorry?

And that Mr. DiPalma identified --

MR. LA ROSSA: I object to this.

THE COURT: You opened the door.

MR. LA ROSSA: Not as to what Mr. DiPalma said.

THE COURT: You opened the door.

MR. LA ROSSA: You'll note my objection, please.

THE COURT: Yes.

A Mr. DiPalma told Officer Oleska on that night that one of the men down pounding on the door that night was Ciro.

What, if anything, else did Detective Oleska say to you in respect to the identification of that other person?

Do you recall anything else he said? Did you make any other statements as to try to further clarify or indicate his lack of ability to further identify?

A I -- I simply recall that he -- that Frank DiPalma
told Officer Oleska and Officer Garcia both that that was
Ciro.

MR. LA ROSSA: You'll note my objection to that and move to strike the answer.

THE COURT: Overruled. We'll take a five minute recess, ladies and gentlemen. Don't discuss the case and Mr. Ruffels will refresh his recollection from the tape on that question.

THE WITNESS: Oh, the walk.

(Recess taken)

(Jury present)

THE COURT: Proceed, please.

MR. NAFTALIS: Your Honor, I believe we were in the middle of an answer from Mr. -- Special Agent Ruffels. I would ask for the Court Clerk to read back

my question and that part of the answer that he had given thus far.

THE COURT: I thought he completed it but go ahead.

(Read)

BY MR. NAFTALIS:

Q All right. Special Agent Ruffels, I'll ask you to think for just a moment. You've heard your response. Is there anything further that you can think of?

A I think he said, he just couldn't remember anything else.

Q And that to the best of your recollection is all you can remember that he said?

A That's right.

Now, Agent Ruffels, you were questioned at some length by Mr. Newman concerning the statement you took on May 16th from Frank DiPalma, is that correct?

A Correct.

Q Could you please set forth for the Court and the members of the jury the circumstances under which you took that statement?

A Well, it was in the form of questions, because

Mr. DiPalma was not in -- in a condition. He couldn't -
he couldn't put everything together in the proper sequence.

		-		
7	_	-		

1

4

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

18

19

21

22 23

24

25

Ruffels-redirect

He would be talking about an event that happened previous to another event and so in order to get a clear statement, it least chronologically, to the best of my ability, I had to ask him point by point what happened next, what did its et cetera. So that his thinking was clear. The man are a terrible emotional state, in -- for example.

MR. NEWMAN: Objection as to the example, The

THE COURT: Sustained.

A He comtemplated --

Q How intensive, if at all, was your question...

Mr. DiPalma at the time of the taking of the statement.

MR. NEWMAN: I don't know what that means.

THE COURT: Heither do I but maybe the withca

does.

A Would you repeat that please?

Q Surely. How intensive, if at all, was your classioning of Mr. DiPalma?

A It was intensive. Due to the emotional state.

Q What was the rest of your answer?

THE COURT: No. Leave the rest out.

Q Agent Ruffels, you testified to the wiring up of Mr. DiPalma, is that correct?

A Correct.

Q And you also testified under Mr. LaRossa's

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20 21

22

23 24

25

Ruffels-cross-LaRossa

would help you in the presentation of this case? Didn't tell him that?

- I really -- I really don't recall. A
- Were there provoking statements that Mr. DiPalma Q said that day that you chose to shut that transmitter off, that we didn't hear here?
 - Absolutely not.
- Were there provoking gestures that he made to Q either of these gentlemen on that day that you haven't told us about?
 - Absolutely not. A
 - Did you see all of his gestures? Q
 - To the best of my ability, yes.
 - MR. LA ROSSA: I have nothing further.

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. NAFTALIS:

- May I just have those exhibits a moment? Q
- Yes. A
- Agent Ruffels, Mr. LaRossa cross-examined you and showed you a picture three -- I'm sorry, your Honor --2-B in evidence and he asked you whether you had shown it to Detective Oleska, is that correct?
 - That's correct. A
 - And for what purpose did you show it to Detective Q

25

A

Correct.

1

3

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Ruffels-redirect

A Once.

Q And for what reason did you shut that machine off?

The original, the original tape is here. The original cassette is here. We had the -- when you use a cassette, the cassette has a limited time span. We did not know how long it was going to take to walk because I didn't know where Neal's Deli was before the meeting and from the conversation between Mr. DiPalma and Ronald Gigliotta, it was vague. It was unclear to me exactly where this meeting was to take place because they were talking about a fur store and they were talking about a deli and a house and so it was unclear to me and furthermore, I anticipated that perhaps he would be stopped on the way down by a car, approached as he was walking because I think at one point there was some discussion about well, we'll start walking in that direction. I think was one of the terms used. So that we were concerned that we didn't want to -- we didn't know how long the meeting with Ciro Riccardi and Frank DiPalma would last. We didn't want to get in the middle of a conversation between those two individuals and have the tape run out. We didn't want to run out of tape so there was no reason to record and use up five or ten minutes worth of tape when it might cost us at the very and of the cassette when we needed it most.

Q During the period of time that you were not running the cassette tape recorder, were there any conversations between Frank DiPalma and any other persons?

A No.

Q Were there any conversations intercepted in which the defendant Ciro Riccardi or the defendant Ronald Gigliotta was heard to be speaking and not recorded?

A No.

Q During that period of time that the tape recording device was off, what was being transmitted? What types of sounds, if any?

A Children's, children playing, people talking on the street. That was it. Other people on the normal disiness

Q Special Agent Ruffels, how did you know when to turn the machine back on?

A I saw the vehicle bearing license OK 2271 pull up and Ciro Riccardi and Ronald Gigliotta exit the car.

Q I show you this photograph marked 2 in evidence.

I ask you if that is the automobile you are referring to?

A That is the -- I took this picture of the car.

Q And as I understand your testimony, it's at that moment that you turned the recording device back on?

A Yes.

t res.

- Q As Frank DiPalma proceeded down the street to that meeting to Neal's Deli, did you have him under observation?
 - A Yes.
 - Q Could you see him?
 - A Yes.
- Q During the time of his walking towards the defendants, did you see him make any gestures in their direction?
 - A No.
 - Q Did you see him wave a fist?
 - A No.
 - Q Did you see him produce a weapon?
 - A No.
 - Q Did you hear him shout threats?
 - A No.
- Q Did you observe, hear, see or in any way observe any threatening motions from Frank DiPalme in the direction of either of those two gentlemen?
 - A No.
 - Q In the direction of any other person?
- A No. As a matter of fact, he was searched. They searched him.
 - Q When you say, they, whom do you refer to?

1		Ruffels-redirect
2	۸	Ciro. Searched him for for what what
3	Frank	
4		MR. LA ROSSA: Objection.
5		THE COURT: Can't say what he searched him for.
6	A	Okay. He searched him.
7	Q	Was anyone else present when that search took
8	place?	
9	A	Ronald Gigliotta.
10	Ω	I just want to dwell for a moment, Special Agent
n	Ruffels, on	your decision to shut the recording device off.
12	I ask	
13		MR. LA R. SA: I object to the form of the ques-
14	tion.	
15		THE COURT: He's giving a preliminary background
16	Go whe	ad. Ask the question.
17		MR. NAFTALIS: Thank you, your Honor.
18	Q	Special Agent Ruffels, you testified in respect
19	to Mr. LaRo	ssa's cross-examination, you've been an agent
20	for some fo	ur years, is that correct?
21	۸	Four years and eight months.
22	Q	Four years, eight months. Excuse me. Have you
23	had the opp	ortunity to tape other conversations?
24	A	Yes.

19 2

1

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

worn by consenting persons?

Yes.

- Have you ever had reason or cause to, or be in the presence of agents who have had reason or cause to shut off the device for the same reasons that you outlined in your response to Mr. LaRossa's question?
 - Yes. A
 - Is that normal FBI procedure?
 - Yes.

MR. LA ROSSA: Objection.

THE COURT: I will allow it.

- Is that normal FBI procedure? Q
- Yes, it is. A
- Special Agent Ruffels, was it your intention when you shut off that device to in any way suppress the evidence?

MR. LA ROSSA: Objection.

THE COURT: When you shut off the tape recorder MR. NAFTALIS: The tape recorder, yes, your Honor. The door was opened by Mr. LaRossa.

THE COURT: I will allow it.

Special Agent Ruffels, when you shut that tape recorder off, was it your intention in any way to suppress any evidence?

- A No.
- Q Did you suppress any evidence?
- A No.

- Mr. Riccardi or Mr. Gigliotta during the time that that transmitting device was working and the tape recorder was not?
 - A No.
- Q It was the only reason you shut off that machine, the one you outlined? By that I mean the conservation of tape for when they were actually engaged in conversation?
 - A That's correct.
- Q One further question, Special Agent Ruffels.

 During the time that you observed Frank DiPalma on the street, wearing this body device, other than these two defendants whom you've testified to previously you saw engaged in conversation with Mr. DiPalma, did you see him speaking with anyone else? Again --
 - A In front of the luncheonette.
 - Q Who was that?
 - A I don't know who the individual was.
 - Q And what was the length of that conversation?
- A I -- I'm not even sure he spoke to him. There
 was another individual standing in front of the luncheonette

Ruffels-redirect

that we thought was involved. I don't -- I don't think he spoke with him but there was another individual standing near by that -- that we thought was with Mr. Gigliotta.

- Q Did Mr. DiPalma --
- A He did not speak to him. As I recall, no, he did not speak to him. I'm sorry. The answer is no.
- Q So, as I understand your answer, the only people you saw Mr. DiPalma speak to are the two gentlemen seated at that table?
 - A Correct.
 - Q And no one else?
 - A Correct.
 - MR. NAFTALIS: No further questions.
 - MR. LA ROSSA: Would you bear with me just a moment, please?
 - MR. NEWMAN: May we, with your permission and with Mr. Naftalis's consent, I'll go out of turn if that will expedite things.
 - THE COURT: If that's all right?
 - MR. LA ROSSA: Fine.
 - MR. NAFTALIS: No objection, your Honor.
- RECROSS-EXAMINATION
- BY MR. NEWMAN:
 - Q Now, there was a period of time that you told

Ruffels-recross-Newman 1 me earlier today that you didn't have Mr. DiPalma under sur-2 veillance, is that correct? 3 A Correct. And you couldn't tell me exactly how long until 5 you checked your surveillance log, I think you called it, or 6 something loke that? 7 Correct. 8 As you sit here now, after all of this question-9 ing, is your recollection refreshed as to how long a period 10 of time you didn't have Mr. DiPalma under surveillance? 11 I -- I estimate five to six minutes. 12 You estimate five to six minutes. So, obviously, 13 Q during this five or six minute period you don't know if Mr. DiPalma was talking to anybody else, do you? 15 Oh, yes. I know. I was listening. 16 But you didn't see where he went? 17 Q I saw where he went, yes. 18 During this five or six minute period? 19 Yes. 20 Did you have him under surveillance? 21 I had him under surveillance. When I say he 22

wasn't under surveillance, I mean close surveillance, within -- within 50 feet. I physically saw him half way down the block, in the company of Mr. Riccardi and Mr. Gigliotta, standing outside of a house which they wanted him to go into, apparently, and he refused. 170

23

24

B/LH

2

1

3

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

21

20

22

23 24

25

Ruffels-recross

When you say they wanted, you listened to this tape at least 10 or 12 times; is that right?

Correct.

Did you hear the person who you say was Ronald Gigliotti asking to go into that house?

Will you repeat that, please.

MR. NEWMAN: I don't mean in the tone of my voice, but we can we have the stenographer read it back.

(Record read.)

THE WITNESS: I really can't remember.

Now, sir, earlier today, when I asked you about surveillance, you told me there was a five or six minute period that you didn't have him under surveillance; is that right? Did you tell me that earlier this morning?

I did.

And now you are telling me that you are changing that? That at all times you had him under surveillance?

He was physically observable to me. What I was -- what I meant was, we were close enough to come to his aid wherever -- way down the block. We felt he was not in our immediate proximity. I physically saw him, yes.

> At all times? Q

Ruffels-recross

- A Absolutely.
- Q So now your answer from earlier today -- you are changing it to you had him under surveillance at all times?
 - A Correct.
- Q And now you don't have to look at the surveillance logs that you are talking about; is that right?
 - A I think I have to.
- Q You keep a surveillance log, which is a series of notes that you are instructed to keep; is that right?
 - A That's right.
- Q And this shows you when you saw people doing various things, right?
 - A Right.
- When you tell us that your recollection is refreshed now so that you had changed your earlier answer that you have Mr. DiPalma under observation all the time, now as I understand it you have to look at the surveillance logs again to make sure that you are right?
- A No. Because you had previously asked me a question, when did we stop, how long did the meeting last.

 And I say to you, sir, that I have to refresh my memory by looking up that particular time on the surveillance log. I don't have to refresh my memory at all about whether he was physically observable. He was physically observable.

Ruffels-recross

Q Now — so that now your answer is that you are categorically sure and your answer today is that you lost him or you didn't surveil him — withdraw the "lost" — that you didn't surveil him for a period of time is incorrect and you are changing —

A That was incorrect. Absolutely incorrect. And my answer is, he was physically observable to me at all times as well as Special Agent Kiel.

MR. NEWMAN: May I still request the aid of the Court for the production of that surveillance report.

THE COURT: Yes.

Q Now, did you have an opportunity, as provided for you by Judge Platt, to listen to the tape during the recess, that portion that I asked you about?

MR. NEWMAN: May I have the transcript, please.

MR. NAFTALIS: It's right here.

MR. NEWMAN: Thank you.

- Q Did you have that opportunity during the recess?
 - A Yes, I did.
- Q And you prepared this Exhibit No. 1 for identification, the transcript?

A Ye.

7 8

Ruffels-recross

MR. NEWMAN: May I stand here, please, Judge.

THE COURT: Looking down at that line again

that we read earlier -- now, this is -- so that I

am correct, is the conversation that took place

outside the luncheonette; is that right?

A That's right.

Q And that's the conversation that you observed, you claim, Mr. DiPalma talking to Mr. Gigliotti; is that right?

A Um-hm.

Q And you took a photograph of them in conversation; is that right?

A Yes.

Q That's been introduced into evidence; is that right?

A Yes.

Q And you have the initials down here, R. G., and by your legend that's supposed to be Ronald Gigliotti, right?

A Correct.

Q And your original transcript had on it, "Talking to you is like talking to nobody." And this is supposed to be Gigliotti talking.

"I just hang out here -- about four or five blocks away."

Ruffels-recross

Right?

- A Right.
- Q And you listened to this approximately 10 to 12 times, you told Mr. LaRossa, before preparing the various transcripts, right?
 - A Right.
 - Q And now you listened to it during this recess?
 - A Right.
- of "talking to you," it should be, "talking to me is like talking to nobody. I just hang out here -- about four or five blocks away."
 - A What specifically would you like me to --
 - Q Well, should the "you" be "me"?
 - A Yes.
- Q And that "me" refers to Ronald Gigliotti telling
 Frank DiPalma that "You're talking to me about this is like
 talking to nobody," right?
 - A Yes.
- Q Now, what was your training prior to your becoming an FBI Agent, academically?
- A I have a Bachelor of Science Degree from

 Southern Connecticut State Teachers College, a Masters Degree

 from the University of Bridgeport, and six credits short of

2 3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

Ruffels-recross

my six year degree.

Now, so, therefore, it is fair to say that you have a great working understanding of the English language; is that right?

Yes sir.

And "Talking to me is like talking to nobody," has great significance, does it not, in the English language?

Yes.

And in words of substance, it is Ronald Gigliotti saying, "Talking to me about this is like talking to nobody because I have nothing to do with it." And it has great meaning in this case, does it not, Agent Ruffels?

> MR. NAFTALIS: Your Honor, I object. That's for the jury to conclude.

THE COURT: Well, I will let him ask it. MR. NAFTALIS: Your Honor, I also ask for Mr. Newman to lower his voice.

MR. NEWMAN: I am sorry. You know, I get carried away. It is my habit. I apologise to you. I apologize to you, Mr. Ruffels.

THE COURT: It's a bad habit.

MR. NEWMAN: Yes, I know. It's a lot of wear and tear on the heart, Judge.

THE WITNESS: Could I have the Court Reporter

Ruffels-recross

-

repeat that question, please.

(Record read.)

THE WITNESS: It was my understanding that this transcript had — did not have any particular evidentiary value.

- Q How about the conversation itself?
- A You mean have I altered the tape?
- Q No. I didn't say that at all. I am saying to you, the conversation, the words, the substance, the sentence, "Talking to me is like talking to nobody," does that have significance?
 - A Oh, it certainly does.
- Q Now, you knew, did you not, as an PBI agent and having worked on cases, as you told us before where body transmitters were put on, and that transcripts are given to jurors as aids to them in listening to the tape. You knew that, did you not?
 - A Yes.
- Q And you knew that the jury was going to be looking at the transcript while they were listening to the tape, did you not, sir?
 - A Yes.
 - Q And that it might shape their thinking.

 MR. NAFTALIS: I object to that. There already

on the tape?

Ruffels-recross

has been a direction from the Court to the jury on how to view the transcript and how to view the tape.

THE COURT: I will allow it.

Q That it might shape their thinking and suggest the word "you" instead of the word "me" that was actually

A In the context of that statement, I don't -I don't think that it substantially changes -- alters the
statement.

Q Are you saying to me that the statement means the same when you -- I am sorry.

A I am -- I am not saying it means the same.

I am saying -- I am suggesting that in my opinion -- we are discussing the English language.

Q Right.

A Right now.

In my opinion, that if — if you read this conversation, this statement, "Talking to you is like talking to nobody. I just hang out here — about four or five blocks away." If I — if you say, "Talking to me is like talking to nobody. I just hang out here — about four or five blocks away." It's apparent to me that it's an oversight. It was simply — we know Mr. DiPalma didn't hang out there. I know Mr. DiPalma didn't hang out there. And I assume the

Ruffels-recross

jury realizes --

MR. LA ROSSA: I object to that and move that it be stricken.

THE COURT: No, I will allow it.

THE WITNESS: We are discussing the English language now.

Q That's right.

A And I assume that the jury recognizes the fact that Mr. DiPalma did not hang out there. And, therefore, the statement is quite explanatory and it's simply an oversight.

- Q Are you finished?
- A Yes.
- Q The basic situation here, is it not, is that Mr. Gigliotti is a defendant in a case; is that right?
 - A Correct.
- Q And you are purporting to indicate that this is a conversation that you say he participated in, right?
 - A Yes.
- Q And are you saying to me that in your judgment of the English language when a man who is a defendant in a case is talking to me says, "Talking to me," and there's no question that you're talking in terms of the charges or the underlying facts involved; is that right?

Ruffels-recross

A Right.

Q And, "Talking to me about the underlying facts is like talking to nobody," are you telling me that that has no significance?

I am not saying -- because it has significance.

I am saying that within the context of this, this statement,

it's apparent that it's an error. That is what I am

suggesting. That if you read it for context, the content

obviously excludes Frank DiPalma, and, therefore, must refer

to the person, "Me" instead of "You".

Q It must mean that Ronald Gigliotti is saying to Frank DiPalma, "I had nothing to do with this;" is that right?

MR. HAFTALIS: Objection.

THE WITNESS: Would you repeat it?

THE COURT: I will allow it.

MR. NEWMAN: Please, may we have the reporter read it back.

(Record read.)

THE WITNESS: I would say in that statement, yes sir.

MR. NEWHAN: No further questions.

HR. LA ROSSA: Just one or two.

1	152 Ruffels-recross			
2	RECROSS-EXAMINATION			
3	BY MR. LA ROS	SSA:		
4		MR. LA ROSSA: May I have 2B please.		
5		THE WITNESS: Would this be it?		
6	Q	Do you have it? Is that the only photograph		
7	you have?			
8	A	I have two photographs.		
9	Q	May I have it? Maybe it's the other one I want		
10	A	2В.		
11	Q	Okay. How tall is Mr. DiPalma?		
12	A	Five foot nine inches tall.		
13	Q	Can you tell me how tall Mr. Riccardi is?		
14	A	I would estimate Mr. Riccardi is five nine.		
15		MR. NAFTALIS: I object. I don't see the		
16	relev	ancy of this.		
17		MR. LA ROSSA: You will in a moment.		
18		THE WITNESS: Five ten.		
19		THE COURT: I will allow it.		
20	Q	I am sorry.		
21	. А	Five nine, five ten.		
22	0	About the same height?		
23	A	About.		
24	Q	About the same height as Mr. DiPalma?		

No. I think Mr. Riccardi is probably a little

1	153	Ruffels-recross
2	shorter.	
3	Q	A little shorter.
4	A	I think. I take that back. I don't know. I
5	guess they ar	e probably about the same height.
6	Q	Approximately the same, give or take an inch,
7	right?	
8	A	Yes.
9	Q	Did you hear Mr. Oleska's testimony here in
10	court?	
11	A	Yes.
12	Q	Were you sitting here?
13	A	Yes.
14	Q	Did you pay attention to it?
15	A	Tried to.
16	Q	Do you remember when Mr. Naftalis on direct
17	examination a	sked Mr. Oleska to describe the three men that
18	were in front	of the premises that evening?
19	A	Yes.
20	0	Pr'or to Mr. Oleska giving that answer here in
21	court, had yo	ou had conversations with him?
22	A	With Mr. Oleska?
23	Q	Yes?
24	A	Yes.
25	Q	Had you made a determination based upon those

Ruffels-recross

conversations that he was an experienced law enforcement officer?

- A Yes.
- Q No question about that, right?
- A Right.
- Q A supervisor of detectives assigned to the
 Brooklyn District Attorney's Office, right? Is that kind
 of the cream of the crop of the New York City Police Department:
 - A Yes sir.
- Q When he gave us the description here in court, is there any question in your mind that he gave us his completed as complete a description of those three men as he could have?
 - A Yes.
- Q Am I correct in stating to you, sir, that this is how he described the three men: 28, 30 to 32, five foot eight to five foot ten.

Is that the extent of his description here in court when he told the jury what the description of those three men were?

- A I believe that was.
- Q And now, you are telling us -- withdraw the question.

Just a few moments ago or a few minutes ago or

- 11	
,	Ruffels-recross
1	a half hour ago, you were asked to stop and think and meditat
2	on what you remember Mr. Oleska saying to you about this
3	description. Do you remember that? Am I correct, after
4	
5	stopping and meditating and thinking, you gave us his exact
6	words? And see if I am quoting you correctly.
7	The individual fits the description of one of
8	the men.
9	Were those your words that you attributed word
10	for word to Mr. Oleska?
11	A I think you have taken it out of context.
	Q Well, please give me the exact words again.
12	And if it takes a few moments to meditate and think about it
13	go ahead.
14	
15	the description of one of the men that was knocking on the
16	the description or one or the men that was never the
17	door
18	Q Did you say that?
19	A (Continuing) that night.
20	Q Okay. I am sorry.
21	A Yes.
22	nid you say, "How does he fit the description
23	We Oleska?"
	T thought I did.
24	

And what did he say?

1									1
1	156			Ruf	fels-re	cross			
2		A	I'm s	orry. I	id I sa	y that	to Mr	-	
3		Q	Yes.						
4		A	Yes.	At the	time th	hat I t	alked wit	h him,	yes.
5		Q	Okay						
6		A	Becau	use he pl	nysical	ly said	he could	not :	ldentif
7	them.								
8				(Conti	nued on	next p	age)		
9									
10									
11									
12									/
13									
14				•					
15									
16			, ·						
17									
18									
19									
20									
21									
22									
23									
24									
25	5								

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q Now, as a trained Police Officer, if he knew one of the men had hair under his nose in the form of a mustache, he certainly would have told us that, right?
 - A Well, at 11:00 o'clock at night --
- Q I am asking you the question, sir. He would have told us that if he observed a mustache; isn't that right?
 - A I would think he would.
- Q And he would have told us, sir, if he observed the man to be extremely heavy or extremely thin; isn't that right?
 - A Yes.
- Q As a matter of fact, he didn't even tell us whether they were Caucasion, Negro or Oriental; is that correct?
 - A That's right.
- Q And yet you tell me that by looking at this picture he said to you, That individual fits the description of one of the three men who were knocking on the door; is that right?
 - A Yes. In words to that effect.
- Now, the picture certainly doesn't describe the height of the individual, does it? Because his legs are cut off; isn't that right?
 - A Right.
 - Q Aside from the fact that someone could guess at

the age of that individual, there are no other factors that
fit Olaska's description that could help him pick that man out;
isn't that right?

A Correct.

Q So, he didn't tell you, as he told us here in court, "I can't identify that man"?

A Yes. He did say he couldn't identify him. He said he fit the description of one of the men that knocked on the door. And he very definitely stated to us he could not identify him, because we would have liked to have him identify him.

O That would help.

A He could not identify him. And he stuck to that implicitly.

Q Stuck to that? I am sorry.

A He stuck to the fact that he personally could not identify one of the three individuals that night as being Ciro Riccardi. However, he told us -- He told us that Mr. DiPalma identified one of the individuals as being Ciro Riccardi. In other words, one of the guys there is Ciro Riccardi, and that it was their understanding. And that's all. He is an experienced Police Officer, and he declined to make an identification. Correctly so.

How many times did he decline to make that identi-

Seriously, you mean? In --A 24 25

In any way, sir. Q

22

23

this case if he could identify him? Yes or no?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

A I don't think -- Well, I think I may have said in a kidding manner, you know, It would be great if you could identify this guy. In a jesting manner. Because, obviously, he wasn't going to identify him. And we accepted that. There was no --

O What?

A We accepted the fact that the man could not -the Officer could not make an identification.

Q So in a joking way, you suggested to him -- Is that your testimony?

A Sir, as I would guess --

Q That it might have helped if he could identify him?

A Yes. I am only assuming, knowing my own personality, I probably would comment that way.

MR. LA ROSSA: I have nothing further.

Thank you.

THE COURT: Mr. Naftalis?

MR. NAFTALIS: No redirect.

THE COURT: Mr. Newman?

MR. NEWMAN: I am sorry, sir. I have nothing.

THE COURT: All right, you may step down.

(Witness excused.)

THE COURT: Do you want to recall Mr. DiPalma?

5	1	MR. NAFTALIS: Your Honor, that's going to take
	2	a fairly substantial
	3	THE COURT: I understand. But I would like to
	4	sit until 1:00.
	5	MR. NAFTALIS: I am sorry.
	6	THE COURT: I would like to sit until 1:00 o'clock
	7	if we could. If he is here.
	8	MR. NAFTALIS: All right. I call Mr. DiPalma.
	9	FRANK DI PALMA, having been previously duly
	10	Sworn, testified further as follows:
	11	THE COURT: You are still under Oath.
	12	MR. LA ROSSA: Are you finished with direct?
	13	MR. NAFTALIS: Just one moment, please.
	14	Your Honor, we will ask no further questions on
	15	direct examination. If we call him back for redirect -
	16	THE COURT: All right. Cross.
	17	CROSS EXAMINATION
	18	BY MR. LA ROSSA:
	19	Ω Mr. DiPalma, my name is LaRossa. I represent
	20	Ciro Riccardi.
	2	How old are you, Mr. DiPalma?
	2	2 A 37.
	2	Q Yesterday, you told us that for some three years
	2	you were a bookmaker; is that right?
	2	A Three years ago.

24

25

When was the first time you began activities as a bookmaker?

MR. NAFTALIS. Objection, your Honor.

1	164	DiPalma - cross/LaRossa
2		THE COURT: I will allow it.
3		THE WITNESS: It was in the summer in 1970.
4	Q	The summer of 1970?
5	A	Yes. '72. I don't know dates, and I forget.
6	And once agai	n
7	Q	Give us your best recollection. Was it the sum-
8	mer of 1970?	
9	A	I don't know.
10	Q	Was it the summer of 1971?
11	A	I am not sure. It's hard for me to answer that.
12	Q	Well, a moment ago you told us that you absolutely
13	wasn't a book	maker in 1970; is that right?
14	A	Because I wasn't sure. Like I am not sure right
15	now.	
16	Q	Well, let's work backwards, if I could. Okay.
17		When was the last time you acted as a bookmaker?
18	A	I would have to believe, in 1972. I have to be-
19	lieve that.	
20	Q	When?
21	A	It had to be early in the year.
22	Q	What do you mean by early in the year?
23	A	January, February. Around that time.
24	Q	Not May?
25	A	I am not sure about May. It could have been May.

Ciro Riccardi?

25

1	166	DiPalma - cross/LaRossa
2	A	I could have been.
3	Q	I just asked you a few moments ago, and you said,
4	"Yes."	
5	A	Because I am telling you I am not sure.
6	Ω	Can you tell me one day, one month, one year
7	when you are	sure you were a bookmaker?
8	А	No, I couldn't do that.
9	0	What happened to the books that you kept when
10	you were a bo	ookmaker?
11	A	I had no books.
12	Ω	What happened to the slips that you wrote the
13	bets down?	
14	A	Ripped them up.
15	Q	Did you have any slips in your possession in
16	June, 1972?	
17	A	I don't know.
18	Ω	Did you destroy them before you went to the Kings
19	County Distr	ict Attorney's office?
20	A	I told you, when I went to the District Attorney's
21	office, I was	s not a bookmaker.
22	Q	Did you begin as a bookmaker again some time
23	after you we	nt to the Kings County District Attorney's office?
24	A	No, sir.
25		Did you tell them in June, 1972, when you went to

pening?

1	168	DiPalma = cross/LaRossa
2	A	Yes, I remember it happening.
3	Q	Do you remember that they put this machine on
4	your you d	escribed, I think, your lower chest?
5	A	Yes, I can remember.
6	Q	Do you remember going to Avenue X?
7	A	Yes, sir.
8	Q	Do you remember stating that you had a conversa-
9	tion there?	
10	A	Yes.
11	Q	Then you walked from there, from Avenue X, to
12	another loca	tion; is that right?
13	A	Yes, sir.
14	Q	How long did that take?
15	A	A few minutes, I guess.
16	Q	Pive minutes, ten minutes?
17	A	I don't know.
18	Q	Twenty?
19	A	A few minutes, I guess.
20	Q	Did you walk down one street, or a number of
21	streets?	
22	A	A number of streets.
23	Q	Did you talk to Agent Ruffels over the machine
24	when you wer	e walking?
25	A	I could have been.

1	169	DiPalma - cross/LaRossa
2	Q	Did you?
3	λ	I think I did.
4	Q	Is there any question in your mind that you did?
5	A	I don't know if I was talking to Ruffels.
6	Q	You were talking to whoever was listening to
7	you, in other	words?
8	A	Whoever was listening.
9	Q	Did they talk to you?
10	A	No, sir.
11	Q	Tell us what you said in that machine when you
12	were walking	those blocks.
13	A	I think I was telling them I was passing certain
14	locations.	
15	Q	Anything else?
16	A	Not that I can remember.
17	Q	Did you say anything about the man you had met
18	on Avenue X,	who you identified as the gentleman on the right?
19	A	I think I did.
20	Q	Have you heard the tape?
21	A	I heard it.
22	Q	All the things that you said in that recorder
23	from the tim	me you left Avenue X until the time that you met Mr
24	Riccardi	Withdraw the question.
25		Have you heard this recording?

- A I did hear the recording, yes.
- You didn't hear any of those comments, did you?

 The comments you made in that machine to whoever was listening?

 Did you hear them when you heard the tape again?
 - A I am not sure if I did.
 - Q Stop and think about it. It's very important.
 - A I'm not sure if I did. I'm not sure if I did.
- Q But is there any question in your mind that you did say things in that recording machine as you walked down those streets?
 - A Yes. I told you I did. I mentioned locations.
- Q You mentioned something about the gentleman on the right?
 - A I might have.
- Q Did you talk about anything else other than locations or the gentleman on the right in that recording machine? I am talking about the interval of time after you left Avenue X until the time you met Mr. Riccardi?
 - A I don't remember. Honestly, I don't remember.
- Q Mr. DiPalma, let's go back to the very first day you were ever engaged as a bookmaker. And I know you can't remember the date, the year, the month, or anything else. Did you start being a bookmaker yourself?
 - A No.

	11		
	1	171	DiPalma - cross/LaRossa
	2	Q	You had a partner?
	3	A	Yes.
	4	Q	Who was that?
	5		MR. NAFTALIS: Your Honor, that's irrelevant.
	6		THE COURT: Overruled.
	7	Q	Who was your partner?
	8	A	Your Honor, excuse me. Could I think this over?
	9		I didn't have a partner.
	10		THE COURT: Did you have a partner?
	11		THE WITNESS: I didn't have a partner.
	12	Q	You mean you were lying to us?
	13	A	No. I had to think it over. When you say partner,
	14	do you mean a	person that is a partner on the money itself?
	15	In other words	s, money A partner who puts in half, and the
	16	other puts in	the other half? Or a partner that takes it all
	17	for himself?	
	18	Q	You tell me what you meant when you said, "Yes,
	19	I had a partne	er."
	20		No, I didn't have a partner. I did it on my
	21	OWD.	
Const.	22	Q	Tell me what you assumed I meant by a partner.
	23	A	I thought you meant having In other words,
	24	if there was	anybody there. Somebody there would pick it up
4 fls	25	for you.	

3/3	1	Di Palma-cross
b:ga	2	Q But you were always by yourself in the busi-
	3	ness?
	4	A I was usually by myself.
	5	Q Usually or always?
	6	A I wasn't the only bookmaker in that particular
	7	location.
	8	Q The very first day that you began as a book-
	9	maker, where was it?
	10	A It was in the inspection shop.
	11	Q Where is that?
	12	A That's the location closer to the tracks.
	13	Track I think31.
	14	Q Is that within the Transit Authority?
	15	A Yes, sir.
	16	Q Now, you certainly didn't hand out pamphlets
	17	saying that you were a bookmaker?
	18	A Everybody well, everybody knows who did
	19	what. And I didn't have to.
	20	Q You just announced to certain people that
	21	you were ready to become a bookmaker; is that right?
	22	A I announced to certain people that anybody
	23	who wants to make a bet, that I would take it.
	24	Q I assume at that time you were quite profi-
	25	cient in odds?

1

3

5

7

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

No, sir, I wasn't. A

Weren't proficient? Q

No.

Did you know what a hedge bet was at that time?

I knew what a hedge bet was. Yes. But when you say odds, you're talking about how you bet six to one, five to one, things like that. No, as far as mathematically, I am terrible. Very bad. That's why I wasn't a good bookmaker.

Did you know when something was at proper odds Q or not?

Pardon me?

Did you know when something was proper or not as far as odds were concerned?

I didn't know. I couldn't tell.

Let me ask you this. How did you get the odds Q on the Knickerbockers on a particular night?

> I didn't take odds on the Knicks. A

Never? Q

No. A

Who ran your numbers? Q

I never ran numbers.

You never took a number? Q

I took a number, but I -- but I never ran a

up?

number. I took a number maybe once in a while. I could have took a number, but I never take numbers as a bookmaker would take numbers.

Q You took numbers from anybody that came to give them to you?

A No, sir. Because I only had a \$200 bank in horses. If you call that a bookmaker --

Well, Mr. DiPalma, tell me this: When you get the bets, you told us yesterday that they were hedged into OTB or at the track.

- A Racetrack.
- Q Is that right?
- A Mostly down the racetrack.
- Q On a given day, how many bets would you pick
- A I couldn't say.
- Q Tell me what a big day was.
- A \$130, \$140.
- Q Would that represent seventy different bets or sixty different bets?
 - A Maybe.
- Q Of that 130, on an average how much would you hedge at the racetrack or at OTB? All of it?
 - A No.

24

25

175

DiPalma-cross

- Q How much?
- A It varies.
- Q Tell me.
- A According to the type of the horse.
- Q Tell me what the average would be. Were there ever occasions when you did n't hedge any of the 130?
 - A Yes. Could have been a few occasions like that.
- Q Were there ever occasions when you hedged the whole 130?
 - A No.
 - Q Most of it?
 - A If it was a 50-to-1 shot, yes.
- Q Tell me this, when you took a \$2 bet from someone at the premises, and you went down to the racetrack and get that \$2, there was no way you could make any money on that \$2, was there?
 - A If it was a two if four bet.
 - Q Tell us, please, how that would work.
- A If it was a two if four--in other words, if the first horse wins, the \$2 stays on that horse, and if on four dollars on the next horse. It becomes \$6 on each horse that wins.
- Q But if you kept placing it out the window, you make no money; isn't that right?

the winning money and put it all onto place and show, that horse comes in second, all he gets is \$2 on the first horse.

Q Mr. DiPalma, I don't seem to understand that.

What I am saying, if I bet \$2 with you ---

A Yes.

Q -- on a horse that was running at six to one -

You can make money. If you -- if you take

A Yes.

Q -- and you went to Aqueduct --

A Yes.

Q -- and took my \$2 and bought a ticket for it and the horse won, wouldn't you have to give me the money that you got at the window?

A Yes. I -- but I didn't receive many \$2 straight bets. I received mostly two if four bets and one if two bets.

Tell me and this jury how you make money from a third party when you take the money, put it in the window at Aqueduct, take the winnings and give it back to the customer. Tell us what you earned.

A What I earn?

Q Yes.

A I earned a day at the track. That's all.

Q How?

A How? By -- I love to gamble.

Q You mean that they were giving you the oppor-

You mean that they were giving you the opportunity to place these bets, so it was kind of an excuse for you to go out to the track?

I was a degenerate gambler and I had to go to the truck.

I just love to go. It didn't matter what they gave me. I went down there. And if I like the horse that this particular person bet, I would bet it. And if it paid \$13, it paid \$13. It didn't matter to me.

Q Does that make any sense from a bookmaking parlance, Mr. DiPalma? Tell the jury, did --

about a bookmaker. I am not a bookmaker. I am not a bookmaker that is making \$50,000 a year. You're talking to a person who wasn't a good bookmaker in the sense that all I had -- the most was a few hundred dollars bank.

Q How much did you make in 1970 as a bookmaker?

A 1970?

Q Yes.

A I was just -- I -- like I said, dates, I don't know. But I can recall this. I was put into the TA 3/16/70.

I was not a bookmaker 3/16/70 when I came into the TA.

Tell me how much you earned the very first

7

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I made nothing. Like I said before, I made nothing. And I said before to you there were other people in that place that did what they had to do. Whatever they did was their business. All I know is I did not make money

> You earned no money the first year? Q

No. I made nothing.

year that you were a bookmaker.

in that place as a bookmaker.

Did you lose money? Q

Lose? Yes, sir, I did.

How about the second year?

Yes, sir. I told you I -- like I said before, and once again I will repeat myself. I am not sure of dates. I am not sure of times. I'm trying to be as honest as I can. But I don't want anybody to think I'm a \$50,000 bookmaker when am not. And once again I will say it to the Court and to everybody in here that I didn't make money as a bookmaker.

You lost?

I made money on my own when I bet on -- myself. When I went to the track I didn't bet \$2. I was a \$20 and \$30 bettor for myself.

Did you ever hit a big race?

Did I ever hit a big race? Once.

How much? Q

1 179 DiPalma-cross \$60 horse by the name of Samantha at Aqueduct. 2 A 3 When was that? About a year and a half, maybe two years ago. Once again, if I tell you a year and a half I could be wrong. 5 If I tell you two years, I could be wrong, too. Let me ask you chis, Mr. DiPalma. Did the FBI 7 or the assistant U.S. attorney ask you these questions like 8 I am asking you? 10 Sir, I told the FBI --A 11 I asked you a question. Q 12 Yes, sir. A 13 Did they ask you questions? Q 14 The FBI did. 15 Did Mr. Ruffels? Q 16 Mr. --A 17 Did Mr. Ruffels ask you questions? Q 18 Yes, sir, he sure did. Did he ask you whether you were a bookmaker? 19 Q 20 Yes, sir. A Did you tell him the truth like you are telling 21 Q 22 us? 23 I did so, sir. Suppose I told you that this morning Mr. Ruffels 24 testified that when he asked you these questions you told him 25

Reals

DiPalma-cross

that you never kept any of the bets; you always hedged them all off at Aqueduct or OTB; and, therefore, you never violated any laws? Bid you tell that to Agent Ruffels?

MR. NAFTALIS: Objection, your Honor.

THE COURT: I will allow him to put a hypothetical to him.

Q Did you tell Agent Ruffels that?

A All I know is, I told Agent -- once again, I got to just say the same thing, your Honor. I can't be sure but three years ago when any person could say exactly in sentences and words. It's impossible for me to be so precise on words. I would be a genius. I can't remember a lot of things, your Honor. This is three years ago. And I am sorry. I can't remember.

Q Did you tell him, Mr. DiPalma, that -- did you tell Agent Ruffels that you never kept a bet yourself?

A I -- I don't know. I'm not sure.

Q Well, if you did tell him that, would it have been a lie?

A All I told him, I was a bookmaker holding bets or -- no, not -- I don't know if I told him that.

If I did, I did. If I didn't, I didn't. I don't remember that.

Q Mr. DiPalma, do you know the difference between

_

1	181
	101

DiPalma-cross

10 2

-

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

23

22

24

25

a bookmaker and an accommodation bettor?

A No, sir, I don't.

Q Do you know it's against the law to be a bookmaker?

A Yes, sir, I do.

Q Did you think you were violating the law in 1970 or '71 or 1972 or whenever this was?

A All I did --

Q Did you? Did you think you were violating the law?

A OTB was there. And I couldn't see it being a violation if I took most of my bets at OTB and made my bets at OTB, and all I got out of the deal was absolutely nothing. It was mostly for a favor for these guys.

Q Have you ever been questioned by anyone other than Agent Ruffels as to whether you were a bookmaker?

A No. I wasn't questioned by amybody other than Mr. Ruffels.

Q Didn't Mr. Naftalis call you in and ask you questions about this? Mr. Naftalis?

Mr. Naftalis might have. But I thought you meant another law-enforcement officer.

Q Didn't he just a few moments ago call you in?

A Yes.

DiPalma-cross

Q Did he ask you, had you ever taken bets from from Ciro Riccardi?

A Did he ask me? Yes, he did.

That's not the first time Mr. Naftalis has called you in and asked you about something you did in the past, is it?

MR. NAFTALIS: Objection. There is no evidence as to that in this trial.

O Is that the first --

MR. NAFTALIS: Your Honor --

MR. LA ROSSA: I accept the objection. I will withdraw it.

THE COURT: Wait a minute. This is crossexamination. He is entitled to ask these kinds of questions.

I will allow it.

(Continued on next page.)

DiPalma-cross/LaRossa

MR. NAFTALIS: Your Honor, I would kindly ask that Mr. LaRossa, though, keep his voice down. He's obviously harrassing the witness.

THE COURT: Mr. LaRossa, stand behind the lectern and not emulate Mr. Newman. I don't think it's necessary.

MR. LA ROSSA: Judge, I have been doing this for so long I really don't emulate anybody.

- Q If I am harrassing you, just put up your hand.
 I am sorry. It is the last thing in the world I would like to do?
 - A No, you're not harrassing me.
 - Q Thank you.

LH

Is that the first time that Mr. Naftalis called you in to his office and asked you to tell him scmething about you that happened in the past?

- A It might have been, counselor. It might have been.
 - Q Think, please. Sit and think.
- A I can't think anymore. I can't. I am explaining to you the truth. I cannot think anymore. I can't.

 A lot of things happened to me in three years. I cannot think.
 - Q You're really not sure, Mr. DiPalma, of what

DiPalma-cross/LaRossa

2	happened	in the past; is that right?
3	A	I am sure of only what I can remember that
4	hurt me.	That's what I am sure of. But other than that,
5	I am not	sure of those other things. I am not.
6	Q	Did you file a tax return in 1971?
7	A	Most likely I did. My wife handles that. I
8	don't.	
9	Q	Did you put down that you were a bookmaker?
10	A	My wife handles that. Again, I don't.
11	Q	I didn't ask you that.
12	A	Oh, no. I guess not.
13	Q	Did Mr. Naftalis ask you if you put down on
14	your tax	return that you were a bookmaker?
15	A	No sir, he did not.
16	Q	Did he ask you to see your tax return for 1971?
17	A	If he would, he would have been shocked.
18	Q	Did he ask to see your tax return for 1971?
19	A	Well, no, he didn't.
20	Q	Did you file a tax return for 1972?
21	A	Did I? I sure did.
22	Q	Did you put down that you were a bookmaker?
23	A	No sir, I did not.
24	Q	Did you report the gambling earnings?
25	A	What earnings?

1	DiPalma-cross/LaRossa
2	Q Did you report any winnings that you made?
3	A How could I? I never won. How could I put
4	down anything? I told you I was a born loser.
5	Q So you never won once?
6	A No, I won. Yes. Yes, I won a few times. But
7	when you mean
8	Q How many times have you won in the last three
9	MR. NAFTALIS: Your Honor, would you instruct
10	Mr. LaRossa to let Mr. DiPalma answer the question.
11	He keeps cutting him off.
12	MR. LA ROSSA: If I'm harrassing him, please
13	tell me and I will stop. That's not my style, Judge.
14	MR. NAFTALIS: I object to this speech.
15	THE COURT: All right. I think we have had
16	enough. We will take a luncheon recess.
17	Be back, ladies and gentlemen, at 2:15. Don't
18	discuss the case in the interim.
19	MR. LA ROSSA: May I ask you to stay for
20	just a moment.
21	THE COURT: Yes. You may go. Don't discuss
22	the case with anybody. Be back promptly.
23	(Whereupon, the jury retired from the courtroom.
24	(Witness excused.)
25	MR. NAFTALIS: Your Honor, if I may

MR. LA ROSSA: May I at this time ask for a direction --

May the witness wait.

THE COURT: Wait.

MR. LA ROSSA: That my cross-examination be completed without interruption in that the witness should not discuss his testimony with any Federal Agents or Assistant US Attorney.

THE COURT: No. You are not entitled to that anymore than they are not entitled to -- not to discuss the case with your client.

MR. LA ROSSA: I think there is a big difference.

And a lot of judges have respected it.

THE COURT: There's no difference at all.

There's no reason why the US Attorney can't talk to any witness of theirs. That is the most outrageous idea I have ever heard of.

MR. LA ROSSA: A lot of judges comply.

THE COURT: For ten years I have tried cases and everytime I have a witness I'd go out to lunch with him and I would discuss the case with him. I know of nothing wrong with it, with anybody discussing the case with a witness.

MR. LA ROSSA: Of course not. I am talking about during cross-examination. That's all.

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE SECOND CIRCUIT

Index No.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA.

Plaintiff-Appellee,

Affidavit of Personal Service

RONALD GIGLIOTTI,

That on the

Defendant-Appellant.

STATE OF NEW YORK, COUNTY OF

NEW YORK

being duly sworn, James A. Steele depose and say that deponent is not a party to the action, is over 18 years of age and resides at 310 W. 146th St., New York, N.Y. day of October 1975 at 225 Cadman Plaza, Brooklymn., N.Y.

deponent served the annexed

Appellant Brief Appan dix

upon

David G. Trager in this action by delivering a true copy thereof to said individual the Attorney personally. Deponent knew the person so served to be the person mentioned and described in said herein, papers as the

Sworn to before me, this 24th day of

October

75

ROBERT T. BRIN SOTARY PUBLIC, Stale of flow York No. 31 - 0418350 Qualified in New York County Commission Expired March 33, 1373

